Moving the Frontiers in Model Reduction Using Numerical Linear Algebra

Peter Benner

Max-Planck-Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems Computational Methods in Systems and Control Theory Group Magdeburg, Germany Technische Universität Chemnitz Fakultät für Mathematik Mathematik in Industrie und Technik Chemnitz, Germany







joint work with Tobias Breiten, Jens Saak (TU Chemnitz), Tobias Damm (TU Kaiserslautern)

> ALAMA 2010 Valencia, June 2–4, 2010



Outline

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Introduction to Model Order Reduction

- Basic Ideas
- Large-Scale Linear Systems

2 Balanced Truncation

- Short Introduction
- Solving Large-Scale Lyapunov Equations
- Moving Frontiers: Bilinear Model Order Reduction

3 Interpolatory Model Reduction

- Short Introduction
- Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems
- Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Quadratic-Bilinear Approximations





Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reductior

Conclusions and Outlook

$\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} 0 = f(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), \partial_{tt} x(t), u(t), p), & x(t_0) = x_0, \\ y(t) = g(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), u(t), p) \end{cases}$

(a) (b)

with

- (generalized) states $x(t) \equiv x(t; p) \in \mathcal{X}$,
- inputs $u(t) \in \mathcal{U}$,

- outputs $y(t) \equiv y(t; p) \in \mathcal{Y}$, (b) is called output equation,
- $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a parameter vector.





Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems Σ

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reductior

Conclusions and Outlook

(p) : {	<u>ر</u> 0	=	$f(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), \partial_{tt} x(t), u(t), p),$	$x(t_0)=x_0,$	(a
	(y(t)	=	$g(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), u(t), p)$		(b)

(a) may represent

- system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs);
- system of differential-algebraic equations (DAEs);
- system of partial differential equations (PDEs);
- system of integro-differential equations,
- a mixture thereof.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems Σ

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

(p):	<u>ر</u> 0	=	$f(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), \partial_{tt} x(t), u(t), p),$	$x(t_0)=x_0,$	(a)
	$\int y(t)$	=	$g(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), u(t), p)$		(b)

(a) may represent

- system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs);
- system of differential-algebraic equations (DAEs);
- system of partial differential equations (PDEs);
- system of integro-differential equations,
- a mixture thereof.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook $\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} 0 = f(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), \partial_{tt} x(t), u(t), p), & x(t_0) = x_0, \\ y(t) = g(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), u(t), p) & (b) \end{cases}$

(a) may represent

- system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs);
- system of differential-algebraic equations (DAEs);
- system of partial differential equations (PDEs);
- system of integro-differential equations,
- a mixture thereof.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook $\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} 0 = f(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), \partial_{tt} x(t), u(t), p), & x(t_0) = x_0, \\ y(t) = g(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), u(t), p) & (b) \end{cases}$

(a) may represent

- system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs);
- system of differential-algebraic equations (DAEs);
- system of partial differential equations (PDEs);
- system of integro-differential equations,
- a mixture thereof.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook $\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} 0 = f(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), \partial_{tt} x(t), u(t), p), & x(t_0) = x_0, \\ y(t) = g(t, x(t), \partial_t x(t), u(t), p) & (b) \end{cases}$

(a) may represent

- system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs);
- system of differential-algebraic equations (DAEs);
- system of partial differential equations (PDEs);
- system of integro-differential equations,
- a mixture thereof.



Introduction to Model Order Reduction Basic Ideas

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reductio

Conclusions and Outlook

Main idea

Replace differential equation by low-order one while preserving input-output behavior as well as important system invariants and physical properties!

Driginal System

$$E(p): \begin{cases} E(x,p)\dot{x} = f(t,x,u,p) \\ y = g(t,x,u,p) \end{cases}$$

- states $x(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
- inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
- outputs $y(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$,

Σ

• parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Reduced-Order System

$$\widehat{\Sigma}(p): \begin{cases} \widehat{E}(\hat{x}, p)\dot{\hat{x}} = \widehat{f}(t, \hat{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, p), \\ \hat{y} = \widehat{g}(t, \hat{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, p). \end{cases}$$

• states $\hat{x}(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $r \ll n$

 $\widehat{\Sigma}$

- inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
- outputs $\hat{y}(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$,
- parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.





Introduction to Model Order Reduction Basic Ideas

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reductio

Conclusions and Outlook

Main idea

Replace differential equation by low-order one while preserving input-output behavior as well as important system invariants and physical properties!

Original System	Reduced-Order System
$\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} E(x,p)\dot{x} = f(t,x,u,p), \\ y = g(t,x,u,p). \end{cases}$	$\widehat{\Sigma}(p): \begin{cases} \widehat{E}(\hat{x},p)\dot{\hat{x}} = \widehat{f}(t,\hat{x},\underline{u},p), \\ \hat{y} = \widehat{g}(t,\hat{x},\underline{u},p). \end{cases}$
• states $x(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,	• states $\hat{x}(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $r \ll n$
• inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,	• inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
• outputs $y(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^q$,	• outputs $\hat{y}(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$,
• parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.	• parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
u → Σ y →	u→ Ŷ→



Large-Scale Linear Systems

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Linear, time-invariant (LTI) systems

Σ.	$\int \dot{x}(t)$	=	Ax + Bu,	$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,	$B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$,
Ζ.	$\int y(t)$	=	Cx + Du,	$C \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$,	$D \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times m}.$

(A, B, C, D) is a realization of Σ (nonunique). Laplace transform: state-space \rightarrow frequency domain yields transfer function of Σ :

$$Y(s) = \underbrace{(C(sl_n - A)^{-1}B + D)}_{=:G(s)} U(s).$$

Goal: find $\hat{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}, \hat{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times q}, \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times r}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times m}$ such that

$$\begin{split} \|G - \hat{G}\| &= \|(C(sI_n - A)^{-1}B + D) - (\hat{C}(sI_r - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{B} + \hat{D})\| < \mathrm{tol} \\ \Rightarrow \|y - \hat{y}\| \le \mathrm{tol}\|u\|. \end{split}$$



Large-Scale Linear Systems

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Basic Ideas Large-Scale Linear Systems

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Linear, time-invariant (LTI) systems

Σ:	∫	=	Ax + Bu,	$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,	$B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$
	$\int y(t)$	=	Cx + Du,	$C \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n},$	$D \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times m}.$

(A, B, C, D) is a realization of Σ (nonunique).

Model order reduction by projection

Galerkin or Petrov-Galerkin-type projection of state-space onto low-dimensional subspace \mathcal{V} along \mathcal{W} : assume $x \approx VW^T x =: \tilde{x}$, where

range (V) = V, range (W) = W, $W^T V = I_r$.

Then, with $\hat{x} = W^T x$, we obtain $x \approx V \hat{x}$ and

 $\hat{A} = W^T A V, \quad \hat{B} = W^T B, \quad \hat{C} = C V, \quad \hat{D} = D.$

where $V_c W_c^T$ projects onto \mathcal{V}_c , the complement of \mathcal{V} .



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Idea (for simplicity, $E = I_n$)

 A system Σ, realized by (A, B, C, D), is called balanced, if solutions P, Q of the Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, \qquad A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0,$$

satisfy: $P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_n > 0$.

- $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ are the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of Σ .
- Compute balanced realization of the system via state-space transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}: (A, B, C, D) &\mapsto (TAT^{-1}, TB, CT^{-1}, D) \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}, D \right) \end{aligned}$$

Truncation $\rightsquigarrow (\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}) = (A_{11}, B_1, C_1, D). \end{aligned}$



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Idea (for simplicity, $E = I_n$)

 A system Σ, realized by (A, B, C, D), is called balanced, if solutions P, Q of the Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, \qquad A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0,$$

satisfy: $P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_n > 0$.

• $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ are the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of Σ .

• Compute balanced realization of the system via state-space transformation

$$\mathcal{T} : (A, B, C, D) \mapsto (TAT^{-1}, TB, CT^{-1}, D)$$

$$= \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}, D \right)$$
Truncation $\rightsquigarrow (\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}) = (A_{11}, B_1, C_1, D)$



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Idea (for simplicity, $E = I_n$)

 A system Σ, realized by (A, B, C, D), is called balanced, if solutions P, Q of the Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^{T} + BB^{T} = 0, \qquad A^{T}Q + QA + C^{T}C = 0,$$

satisfy: $P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \dots \ge \sigma_n > 0$.

- $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ are the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of Σ .
- Compute balanced realization of the system via state-space transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}: (A, B, C, D) &\mapsto (TAT^{-1}, TB, CT^{-1}, D) \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}, D \right) \end{aligned}$$

Truncation $\rightsquigarrow (\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}) = (A_{11}, B_1, C_1, D). \end{aligned}$



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Idea (for simplicity, $E = I_n$)

 A system Σ, realized by (A, B, C, D), is called balanced, if solutions P, Q of the Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, \qquad A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0,$$

satisfy: $P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \dots \ge \sigma_n > 0$.

- $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ are the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of Σ .
- Compute balanced realization of the system via state-space transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}: (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) &\mapsto (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}\mathbf{T}^{-1}, \mathbf{D}) \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1 \\ \mathbf{B}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_1 & \mathbf{C}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{D} \right) \end{aligned}$$

• Truncation $\rightsquigarrow (\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}) = (A_{11}, B_1, C_1, D).$



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Motivation:

HSV are system invariants: they are preserved under ${\cal T}$ and determine the energy transfer given by the Hankel map

$$\mathcal{H}: L_2(-\infty, 0) \mapsto L_2(0, \infty): u_- \mapsto y_+.$$



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Motivation:

HSV are system invariants: they are preserved under ${\cal T}$ and determine the energy transfer given by the Hankel map

$$\mathcal{H}: L_2(-\infty, 0) \mapsto L_2(0, \infty): u_- \mapsto y_+.$$

In balanced coordinates ... energy transfer from u_{-} to y_{+} :

$$E := \sup_{u \in L_2(-\infty,0] \atop x(0) = x_0} \frac{\int_0^\infty y(t)^T y(t) dt}{\int_{-\infty}^0 u(t)^T u(t) dt} = \frac{1}{\|x_0\|_2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j^2 x_{0,j}^2$$



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Motivation:

HSV are system invariants: they are preserved under ${\cal T}$ and determine the energy transfer given by the Hankel map

$$\mathcal{H}: L_2(-\infty, 0) \mapsto L_2(0, \infty): u_- \mapsto y_+.$$

In balanced coordinates ... energy transfer from u_{-} to y_{+} :

$$E := \sup_{u \in L_2(-\infty,0] \atop x(0)=x_0} \frac{\int_0^\infty y(t)^T y(t) dt}{\int_{-\infty}^0 u(t)^T u(t) dt} = \frac{1}{\|x_0\|_2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j^2 x_{0_j}^2$$

 \implies Truncate states corresponding to "small" HSVs

 \implies analogy to best approximation via SVD, therefore balancing-related methods are sometimes called SVD methods.



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Implementation: SR Method

 Compute (Cholesky) factors of the solutions of the Lyapunov equations,

$$P = S^T S, \quad Q = R^T R.$$

Compute SVD

$$SR^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1, U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{T} \\ V_2^{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set

$$W = R^T V_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}, \qquad V = S^T U_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}.$$

• Reduced model is (W^TAV, W^TB, CV, D) .



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Implementation: SR Method

 Compute (Cholesky) factors of the solutions of the Lyapunov equations,

$$P = S^T S, \quad Q = R^T R.$$

Compute SVD

$$SR^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1, U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{T} \\ V_2^{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set

$$W = R^T V_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}, \qquad V = S^T U_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}.$$

• Reduced model is (W^TAV, W^TB, CV, D) .



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Implementation: SR Method

Compute (Cholesky) factors of the solutions of the Lyapunov equations,

$$P = S^T S, \quad Q = R^T R.$$

Compute SVD

$$SR^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1, U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{T} \\ V_2^{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set

$$W = R^T V_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}, \qquad V = S^T U_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}.$$

Seduced model is $(W^T AV, W^T B, CV, D)$.



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Properties:

• Reduced-order model is stable with HSVs $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$.

• Adaptive choice of *r* via computable error bound:

$$\|y - \hat{y}\|_2 \le \left(2\sum_{k=r+1}^n \sigma_k\right) \|u\|_2.$$

• General misconception:

complexity $O(n^3)$ – true for several implementations (e.g., MATLAB, SLICOT, MorLAB).

But: recent developments in Numerical Linear Algebra yield matrix equation solvers with sparse linear systems complexity!



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Properties:

- Reduced-order model is stable with HSVs $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$.
- Adaptive choice of *r* via computable error bound:

$$\|y-\hat{y}\|_2 \leq \left(2\sum_{k=r+1}^n \sigma_k\right) \|u\|_2.$$

• General misconception:

complexity $O(n^3)$ – true for several implementations (e.g., MATLAB, SLICOT, MorLAB).

But: recent developments in Numerical Linear Algebra yield matrix equation solvers with sparse linear systems complexity!



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Properties:

- Reduced-order model is stable with HSVs $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$.
- Adaptive choice of r via computable error bound:

$$\|y - \hat{y}\|_2 \leq \left(2\sum_{k=r+1}^n \sigma_k\right) \|u\|_2.$$

• General misconception:

complexity $O(n^3)$ – true for several implementations (e.g., MATLAB, SLICOT, MorLAB).

But: recent developments in Numerical Linear Algebra yield matrix equation solvers with sparse linear systems complexity!



Short Introduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Properties:

- Reduced-order model is stable with HSVs $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$.
- Adaptive choice of r via computable error bound:

$$\|y-\hat{y}\|_2 \leq \left(2\sum_{k=r+1}^n \sigma_k\right) \|u\|_2.$$

General misconception:

complexity $O(n^3)$ – true for several implementations (e.g., MATLAB, SLICOT, MorLAB).

But: recent developments in Numerical Linear Algebra yield matrix equation solvers with sparse linear systems complexity!



Solving Large-Scale Lyapunov Equations

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook General form for $A, W = W^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given and $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ unknown:

$$0 = \mathcal{L}(Q) := A^T Q + QA + W.$$

In large scale applications from semi-discretized control problems for PDEs,

- $n = 10^3 10^6 \implies 10^6 10^{12}$ unknowns!),
- A has sparse representation $(A = -M^{-1}K \text{ for FEM})$,
- *W* low-rank with $W \in \{BB^T, C^T C\}$, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $m \ll n$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$, $p \ll n$.
- Standard (Schur decomposition-based) O(n³) methods are not applicable!



Solving Large-Scale Lyapunov Equations ADI Method for Lyapunov Equations

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook • For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^{T} = -BB^{T}.$$

• ADI Iteration:

[Wachspress 1988]

$$(A + p_k I) X_{(k-1)/2} = -BB^T - X_{k-1} (A^T - p_k I)$$

$$(A + \overline{p_k} I) \frac{X_k}{X_k}^T = -BB^T - X_{(k-1)/2} (A^T - \overline{p_k} I)$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

- For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ (super)linearly.
- Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$



Solving Large-Scale Lyapunov Equations ADI Method for Lyapunov Equations

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook • For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T = -BB^T.$$

• ADI Iteration:

[Wachspress 1988]

$$(A + p_k I) X_{(k-1)/2} = -BB^T - X_{k-1} (A^T - p_k I)$$

$$(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k^T = -BB^T - X_{(k-1)/2} (A^T - \overline{p_k} I)$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

- For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ (super)linearly.
- Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$



Factored ADI Iteration Lyapunov equation $0 = AX + XA^{T} + BB^{T}$.

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Setting $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$, some algebraic manipulations \Longrightarrow

Algorithm [PENZL '97/'00, LI/WHITE '99/'02, B. 04, B./LI/PENZL '99/'08] $V_1 \leftarrow \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}(p_1)}(A + p_1 l)^{-1}B, \quad Y_1 \leftarrow V_1$ FOR j = 2, 3, ... $V_k \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(p_k)}{\operatorname{Re}(p_{k-1})}} (V_{k-1} - (p_k + \overline{p_{k-1}})(A + p_k l)^{-1}V_{k-1})$ $Y_k \leftarrow [Y_{k-1} V_k]$ $Y_k \leftarrow \operatorname{rrlq}(Y_k, \tau)$ % column compression

At convergence, $Y_{k_{\max}} Y_{k_{\max}}^T \approx X$, where

$$Y_{k_{\max}} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & \dots & V_{k_{\max}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_k = \begin{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: Implementation in real arithmetic possible by combining two steps.



Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration Lyapunov equation $0 = AX + XA^{T} + BB^{T}$

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Projection-based methods for Lyapunov equations with $A + A^T < 0$:

- Compute orthonormal basis range (Z), $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, for subspace $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, dim $\mathcal{Z} = r$.
- Solve small-size Lyapunov equation $\hat{A}\hat{X} + \hat{X}\hat{A}^{T} + \hat{B}\hat{B}^{T} = 0.$

Use
$$X \approx Z \hat{X} Z^T$$
.

Examples:

• Krylov subspace methods, i.e., for m = 1:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{K}(A, B, r) = \operatorname{span}\{B, AB, A^2B, \dots, A^{r-1}B\}$$

[JAIMOUKHA/KASENALLY '94, JBILOU '02-'08].

• K-PIK [Simoncini '07],

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{K}(A, B, r) \cup \mathcal{K}(A^{-1}, B, r).$$



Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration Lyapunov equation $0 = AX + XA^{T} + BB^{T}$

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Projection-based methods for Lyapunov equations with $A + A^T < 0$:

- Compute orthonormal basis range (Z), $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, for subspace $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, dim $\mathcal{Z} = r$.
- Solve small-size Lyapunov equation $\hat{A}\hat{X} + \hat{X}\hat{A}^{T} + \hat{B}\hat{B}^{T} = 0.$

Use
$$X \approx Z \hat{X} Z^T$$
.

Examples:

• Krylov subspace methods, i.e., for m = 1:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{K}(A, B, r) = \operatorname{span}\{B, AB, A^2B, \dots, A^{r-1}B\}$$

[JAIMOUKHA/KASENALLY '94, JBILOU '02-'08].

• K-PIK [SIMONCINI '07],

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{K}(A, B, r) \cup \mathcal{K}(A^{-1}, B, r).$$



Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration Lyapunov equation $0 = AX + XA^{T} + BB^{T}$

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Projection-based methods for Lyapunov equations with $A + A^T < 0$:

- Compute orthonormal basis range (Z), $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, for subspace $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, dim $\mathcal{Z} = r$.
- Solve small-size Lyapunov equation $\hat{A}\hat{X} + \hat{X}\hat{A}^{T} + \hat{B}\hat{B}^{T} = 0.$

Use
$$X \approx Z \hat{X} Z^T$$
.

Examples:

• ADI subspace [B./R.-C. LI/TRUHAR '08]:

$$\mathcal{Z} = ext{colspan} \begin{bmatrix} V_1, & \dots, & V_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note: ADI subspace is rational Krylov subspace [J.-R. LI/WHITE '02].



Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration

Numerical example

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook FEM semi-discretized control problem for parabolic PDE:

optimal cooling of rail profiles,

•
$$n = 20, 209, m = 7, p = 6.$$



CPU times: 80s (projection every 5th ADI step) vs. 94s (no projection).

Computations by Jens Saak.



Factored Galerkin-ADI Iteration

Numerical example

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook FEM semi-discretized control problem for parabolic PDE:

optimal cooling of rail profiles,

•
$$n = 20, 209, m = 7, p = 6$$



CPU times: 368s (projection every 5th ADI step) vs. 1207s (no projection).

Computations by Jens Saak.



Balanced Truncation Sample applications: VLSI design

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOF

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Application in Microelectronics: VLSI Design

Balanced Truncation was implemented in circuit simulator TITAN (Qimonda AG, Infineon Technologies).

TITAN simulation results for industrial circuit: 14,677 resistors, 15,404 capacitors, 14 voltage sources, 4,800 MOSFETs. 14 linear subcircuits of varying order extracted and reduced.



Supported by BMBF network *SyreNe* (includes Qimonda, Infineon, NEC), EU Marie Curie grant *O-Moore-Nice!* (includes NXP), industry grants.


Balanced Truncation

Sample applications: electro-thermic simulation of integrated circuit (IC) [Source: Evgenii Rudnyi, CADFEM GmbH]

- Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction
- Peter Benner
- Introduction to MOR
- Balanced Truncation
- Short Introduction
- Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR
- Interpolatory Model Reduction
- Conclusions and Outlook

- $\bullet~$ Test circuit in Simplorer ${\ensuremath{\mathbb R}}$ with 2 transistors.
- Conservative thermic sub-system in Simplorer: voltage → heat, current → heat flux.
- Original model: n = 270.593, m = p = 2 ⇒
 Computing times (CMESS on Intel Xeon dualcore 3GHz, 1 Thread):
 - Solution of Lyapunov equations: $\approx 22 min.$ (420/356 columns in solution factors),
 - Computation of ROMs: 44sec. (r = 20) 49sec. (r = 70).
 - Bode diagram (MATLAB on Intel Core i7, 2,67GHz, 12GB): using original system 7.5h, with reduced system < 1min.



Mil

Balanced Truncation

Sample applications: electro-thermic simulation of integrated circuit (IC) [Source: Evgenii Rudnyi, CADFEM GmbH]

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook • Original model: n = 270.593, $m = p = 2 \Rightarrow$ Computing times (CMESS on Intel Xeon dualcore 3GHz, 1 Thread):

- Solution of Lyapunov equations: $\approx 22 \textit{min}.$ (420/356 columns in solution factors),
- Computation of ROMs: 44sec. (r = 20) 49sec. (r = 70).
- Bode diagram (MATLAB on Intel Core i7, 2,67GHz, 12GB): using original system 7.5h, with reduced system < 1min.



Mil

Balanced Truncation

Sample applications: electro-thermic simulation of integrated circuit (IC) [Source: Evgenii Rudnyi, CADFEM GmbH]

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction

Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook • Original model: n = 270.593, $m = p = 2 \Rightarrow$ Computing times (CMESS on Intel Xeon dualcore 3GHz, 1 Thread):

- Solution of Lyapunov equations: $\approx 22 min.$ (420/356 columns in solution factors),
- Computation of ROMs: 44sec. (r = 20) 49sec. (r = 70).
- Bode diagram (MATLAB on Intel Core i7, 2,67GHz, 12GB): using original system 7.5h, with reduced system < 1min.





Moving Frontiers: Bilinear Model Order Reduction Balanced Truncation for Bilinear Systems [GRAY/MESKO '98, CONDON/IVANOV '05, B./DAMM '09]

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations

Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Bilinear control system of the form

$$\dot{x} = Ax + \sum_{j=1}^{k} N_j x u_j + Bu, \quad y = Cx,$$

arise, e.g., in

- control of PDEs with mixed boundary conditions,
- approximation of nonlinear systems using Carleman bilinearization.



Moving Frontiers: Bilinear Model Order Reduction Balanced Truncation for Bilinear Systems [GRAY/MESKO '98, CONDON/IVANOV '05, B./DAMM '09]

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reductior

Conclusions and Outlook Bilinear control system of the form

$$\dot{x} = Ax + \sum_{j=1}^{k} N_j x u_j + Bu, \quad y = Cx,$$

arise, e.g., in

• control of PDEs with mixed boundary conditions,

• approximation of nonlinear systems using Carleman bilinearization. The solutions of the generalized Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^{T} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} N_{j}PN_{j}^{T} = -BB^{T}, \quad A^{T}Q + QA + \sum_{j=1}^{k} N_{j}^{T}QN_{j} = -C^{T}C$$

possess certain properties of the reachability and observability Gramians of linear systems, generalized Hankel singular values can be defined, and model reduction analogous to Balanced Truncation can be based upon them [AI-Baiyat/Bettaye '93].



Moving Frontiers: Bilinear Model Order Reduction Balanced Truncation for Bilinear Systems

[Gray/Mesko '98, Condon/Ivanov '05, B./Damm '09]

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations

Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Energy functionals [Gray/Mesko, IFAC 1998]

$$E_{c}(x_{0}) = \min_{\substack{u \in L^{2}(-\infty, 0) \\ x(-\infty, u) = 0, x(0, u) = x_{0}}} \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \qquad \stackrel{?}{\geq} \quad x_{0}^{T} P^{-1} x_{0}$$

$$E_{o}(x_{0}) = \max_{\substack{u \in L^{2}(0, \infty), \|u\|_{L^{2}} \leq 1}} \|y(\cdot, x_{0}, u)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \quad \stackrel{?}{\leq} \quad x_{0}^{T} Q x_{0}$$



Moving Frontiers: Bilinear Model Order Reduction Balanced Truncation for Bilinear Systems

[Gray/Mesko '98, Condon/Ivanov '05, B./Damm '09]

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Energy functionals [Gray/Mesko, IFAC 1998]

$$E_{c}(x_{0}) = \min_{\substack{u \in L^{2}(-\infty,0) \\ x(-\infty,u)=0, x(0,u)=x_{0}}} \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \stackrel{?}{\geq} x_{0}^{T}P^{-1}x_{0}$$

$$E_{o}(x_{0}) = \max_{u \in L^{2}(0,\infty), \|u\|_{L^{2}} \leq 1} \|y(\cdot,x_{0},u)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \stackrel{?}{\leq} x_{0}^{T}Qx_{0}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} x_0^T P x_0 \\ x_0^T Q x_0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ small} \xrightarrow{?} \text{ state } x_0 \text{ hard } \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{to reach} \\ \text{to observe} \end{array} \right.$$



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Theorem (k = 1 for simplicity)

```
Let AP + PA^T + NPN^T + BB^T = 0.
```

If $P \ge 0$ then im P is invariant w.r.t. $\dot{x} = Ax + Nxu + Bu$.

In particular: ker P is unreachable from 0.

Analogously for (un)observability.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory

Conclusions and Outlook

Theorem (k = 1 for simplicity)

Let $AP + PA^T + NPN^T + BB^T = 0$.

If $P \ge 0$ then im P is invariant w.r.t. $\dot{x} = Ax + Nxu + Bu$. In particular: ker P is unreachable from 0.

Proof: Let
$$v \in \ker P$$
. Then $0 = v^T \left(NPN^T + BB^T \right) v$

$$\Rightarrow B^{T}v = 0 \text{ and } PN^{T}v = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow N^{T} \ker P \subset \ker P \subset \ker B^{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow PA^{T}v = 0, \text{ i.e. } A^{T} \ker P \subset \ker P.$$

If $x(t) \in \operatorname{im} P = (\ker P)^{\perp}$ for some t , then

$$\dot{x}(t)^{T}v = x(t)^{T} \underbrace{A^{T}v}_{\in \ker P} + u(t)x(t)^{T} \underbrace{N^{T}v}_{\in \ker P} + u(t)^{T} \underbrace{B^{T}v}_{=0} = 0$$

Hence $\dot{x}(t) \in \text{im } P$, implying invariance.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations

Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

Theorem (k = 1 for simplicity)

Let $AP + PA^T + NPN^T + BB^T = 0$.

If $P \ge 0$ then im P is invariant w.r.t. $\dot{x} = Ax + Nxu + Bu$. In particular: ker P is unreachable from 0.

Consequence:

If $||Px_1||$ is small, then x_1 should be almost unreachable.

How can this be quantified?



Moving Frontiers: Bilinear Model Order Reduction Balanced realization

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Given factorizations $P = LL^T$, $L^T QL = U\Sigma^2 U^T$, the transformation $T = LU\Sigma^{-1/2}$ is balancing: the equivalent system

$$\widetilde{A} = T^{-1}AT$$
, $\widetilde{N}_j = T^{-1}N_jT$, $\widetilde{B} = T^{-1}B$, $\widetilde{C} = CT$

satisfies $\widetilde{P} = \widetilde{Q} = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$. If the small Hankel singular values $\sigma_{r+1}, \dots, \sigma_n$ vanish: state negligible!(?) Projection on \mathbb{R}^r , $(r \ll n)$. Partition: $\mathcal{T} = [\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2], \ \mathcal{T}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Truncation: $\widetilde{A}^{(r)} = S_1 A T_1$ $\widetilde{N}^{(r)}_j = S_1 N_j T_1$ $\widetilde{B}^{(r)} = S_1 B$ $\widetilde{C}^{(r)} = C T_1$

Reduced model

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}_r = \widetilde{A}^{(r)} \tilde{x}_r + \sum_{j=1}^m \widetilde{N}_j^{(r)} \tilde{x}_r u_j + \widetilde{B}^{(r)} u \quad \tilde{y} = \widetilde{C}^{(r)} \tilde{x}_r .$$



Moving Frontiers: Bilinear Model Order Reduction Balanced realization

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Given factorizations $P = LL^T$, $L^T QL = U\Sigma^2 U^T$, the transformation $T = LU\Sigma^{-1/2}$ is balancing: the equivalent system

$$\widetilde{A} = T^{-1}AT$$
, $\widetilde{N}_j = T^{-1}N_jT$, $\widetilde{B} = T^{-1}B$, $\widetilde{C} = CT$

satisfies $\widetilde{P} = \widetilde{Q} = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$. If the small Hankel singular values $\sigma_{r+1}, \dots, \sigma_n$ vanish: state negligible!(?) Projection on \mathbb{R}^r , $(r \ll n)$. Partition: $T = [T_1, T_2], T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Truncation:
$$\widetilde{A}^{(r)} = S_1 A T_1$$
 $\widetilde{N}_j^{(r)} = S_1 N_j T_1$
 $\widetilde{B}^{(r)} = S_1 B$ $\widetilde{C}^{(r)} = C T_1$

Reduced model:

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}_r = \widetilde{A}^{(r)} \tilde{x}_r + \sum_{j=1}^m \widetilde{N}_j^{(r)} \tilde{x}_r u_j + \widetilde{B}^{(r)} u \quad \tilde{y} = \widetilde{C}^{(r)} \tilde{x}_r .$$



Moving Frontiers: Bilinear Model Order Reduction applied to Nonlinear Systems using Carleman Bilinearization

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook $\dot{v}(t) = f(v(t)) + g(v(t)) u(t),$ $y(t) = c^T v(t), \quad v(0) = 0, f(0) = 0$

where $f, g: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ are nonlinear and analytic in v.

$$f(v) \approx A_1 v + \frac{1}{2} A_2 v \otimes v, \quad g(v) \approx B_0 + B_1 v$$

with $B_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ A_1, B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{N imes N}, \ A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{N imes N^2}$,

Nonlinear control system (SISO):

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \begin{bmatrix} v \\ v \otimes v \end{bmatrix}, \ A &= \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \frac{1}{2}A_2 \\ 0 & A_1 \otimes I + I \otimes A_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ N &= \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ B_0 \otimes I + I \otimes B_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ B &= \begin{bmatrix} B_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ C &= \begin{bmatrix} c^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov

Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

- Nonlinear RC circuit [CHEN/WHITE '00, BAI/SKOOGH '06].
- Carleman bilinearization \rightsquigarrow bilinear system with n = 2,550, k = 1.
- Compare bilinear Balanced Truncation with Krylov subspace method taken from [BAI/SKOOGH '06].



$$g(v) = \exp(40v) + v - 1, \ u(t) = \cos(t)$$

Mi

Moving Frontiers: Bilinear Model Order Reduction

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov

Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook

- Nonlinear RC circuit [CHEN/WHITE '00, BAI/SKOOGH '06].
- Carleman bilinearization \rightsquigarrow bilinear system with n = 2,550, k = 1.
- Compare bilinear Balanced Truncation with Krylov subspace method taken from [BAI/SKOOGH '06].





 $u(t) = (\cos \frac{2\pi t}{10} + 1)/2$

[B./DAMM '09]



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations

Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Bilinear balanced truncation both require solutions of

Bilinear Lyapunov Equation

 $AXE^{T} + EXA^{T} + NXN^{T} + BB^{T} = 0.$

Naive attempt based on fixed-point iteration

$$X_{j+1} = -N^{-1} \left(AXE^{T} + EXA^{T} + BB^{T} \right) N^{-T}$$

not applicable as N often singular.

Current best available method: ADI-preconditioned Krylov subspace methods [DAMM '08], using

$$\mathcal{L}_{s}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}(X)+\mathcal{P}(X)+BB^{T}
ight)=0,$$

where

- $\mathcal{L} : X \rightarrow AXE^{T} + EXA^{T}$ is the associated Lyapunov operator,
- $\mathcal{P} : X \rightarrow NXN^T$ is a positive operator,
- \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} is a preconditioner, obtained by running a fixed (low) number s of ADI steps on the Lyapunov part.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations

Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Bilinear balanced truncation both require solutions of

Bilinear Lyapunov Equation

 $AXE^{T} + EXA^{T} + NXN^{T} + BB^{T} = 0.$

Naive attempt based on fixed-point iteration

$$X_{j+1} = -N^{-1} \left(AXE^{T} + EXA^{T} + BB^{T}
ight) N^{-T}$$

not applicable as N often singular.

Current best available method: ADI-preconditioned Krylov subspace methods [DAMM '08], using

$$\mathcal{L}_{s}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}(X)+\mathcal{P}(X)+BB^{T}
ight)=0,$$

where

- $\mathcal{L} : X \rightarrow AXE^{T} + EXA^{T}$ is the associated Lyapunov operator,
- $\mathcal{P} : X \rightarrow NXN^T$ is a positive operator,
- \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} is a preconditioner, obtained by running a fixed (low) number s of ADI steps on the Lyapunov part.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations

Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Bilinear balanced truncation both require solutions of

Bilinear Lyapunov Equation

 $AXE^{T} + EXA^{T} + NXN^{T} + BB^{T} = 0.$

Naive attempt based on fixed-point iteration

$$X_{j+1} = -N^{-1} \left(AXE^{T} + EXA^{T} + BB^{T} \right) N^{-T}$$

not applicable as N often singular.

Current best available method: ADI-preconditioned Krylov subspace methods [DAMM '08], using

$$\mathcal{L}_{s}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}(X)+\mathcal{P}(X)+BB^{T}
ight)=0,$$

where

- $\mathcal{L} : X \to AXE^T + EXA^T$ is the associated Lyapunov operator,
- $\mathcal{P}: X \rightarrow NXN^T$ is a positive operator,
- \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} is a preconditioner, obtained by running a fixed (low) number s of ADI steps on the Lyapunov part.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Short Introduction Lyapunov Equations

Bilinear MOR

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Bilinear balanced truncation both require solutions of

Bilinear Lyapunov Equation

 $AXE^{T} + EXA^{T} + NXN^{T} + BB^{T} = 0.$

Naive attempt based on fixed-point iteration

$$X_{j+1} = -N^{-1} \left(AXE^{T} + EXA^{T} + BB^{T} \right) N^{-T}$$

not applicable as N often singular.

Current best available method: ADI-preconditioned Krylov subspace methods [DAMM '08], using

$$\mathcal{L}_{s}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}(X)+\mathcal{P}(X)+BB^{T}
ight)=0,$$

where

- $\mathcal{L} : X \to AXE^T + EXA^T$ is the associated Lyapunov operator,
- $\mathcal{P}: X \rightarrow NXN^T$ is a positive operator,
- \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} is a preconditioner, obtained by running a fixed (low) number s of ADI steps on the Lyapunov part.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction

Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Computation of reduced-order model by projection

Given a linear (descriptor) system $E\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, y = Cx with transfer function $G(s) = C(sE - A)^{-1}B$, a reduced-order model is obtained using projection matrices $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ with $W^T V = I_r$ $(\rightsquigarrow (VW^T)^2 = VW^T$ is projector) by computing

$$\hat{E} = W^T E V, \ \hat{A} = W^T A V, \ \hat{B} = W^T B, \ \hat{C} = C V.$$

Petrov-Galerkin-type (two-sided) projection: $W \neq V$,

Galerkin-type (one-sided) projection: W = V.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR

Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Computation of reduced-order model by projection

Given a linear (descriptor) system $E\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, y = Cx with transfer function $G(s) = C(sE - A)^{-1}B$, a reduced-order model is obtained using projection matrices $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ with $W^T V = I_r$ $(\rightsquigarrow (VW^T)^2 = VW^T$ is projector) by computing

$$\hat{E} = W^T E V, \ \hat{A} = W^T A V, \ \hat{B} = W^T B, \ \hat{C} = C V.$$

Petrov-Galerkin-type (two-sided) projection: $W \neq V$, Galerkin-type (one-sided) projection: W = V.

Rational Interpolation/Moment-Matching

Choose V, W such that

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, k,$$

and

$$rac{d^i}{ds^i}G(s_j)=rac{d^i}{ds^i}\hat{G}(s_j),\quad i=1,\ldots,K_j,\quad j=1,\ldots,k.$$



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reductio

Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Theorem (simplified) [GRIMME '97, VILLEMAGNE/SKELTON '87]

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{span}\left\{(s_1E-A)^{-1}B,\ldots,(s_kE-A)^{-1}B\right\} &\subset & \operatorname{Ran}(V), \\ \operatorname{span}\left\{(s_1E-A)^{-T}C^T,\ldots,(s_kE-A)^{-T}C^T\right\} &\subset & \operatorname{Ran}(W), \end{array}$$

then

lf

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad \frac{d}{ds}G(s_j) = \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Theorem (simplified) [GRIMME '97, VILLEMAGNE/SKELTON '87]

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{(s_1 E - A)^{-1} B, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-1} B\right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(V), \\ \operatorname{pan}\left\{(s_1 E - A)^{-T} C^T, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-T} C^T\right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(W),$$

then

 \mathbf{S}

lf

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad \frac{d}{ds}G(s_j) = \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Remarks:

computation of V, W from rational Krylov subspaces, e.g.,

- dual rational Arnoldi/Lanczos [GRIMME '97],
- Iterative Rational Krylov-Algo. [ANTOULAS/BEATTIE/GUGERCIN '07].



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reductio Introduction

Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOF

Conclusions and Outlook

Theorem (simplified) [GRIMME '97, VILLEMAGNE/SKELTON '87]

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{(s_1 E - A)^{-1} B, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-1} B\right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(V), \\ \operatorname{pan}\left\{(s_1 E - A)^{-T} C^T, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-T} C^T\right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(W),$$

then

S

lf

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad \frac{d}{ds}G(s_j) = \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Remarks:

using Galerkin/one-sided projection yields $G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j)$, but in general

$$\frac{d}{ds}G(s_j)\neq \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j).$$



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reductio

Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Theorem (simplified) [GRIMME '97, VILLEMAGNE/SKELTON '87]

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{(s_1 E - A)^{-1} B, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-1} B\right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(V), \\ \operatorname{pan}\left\{(s_1 E - A)^{-T} C^T, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-T} C^T\right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(W),$$

then

 \mathbf{S}

lf

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad \frac{d}{ds}G(s_j) = \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Remarks:

k = 1, standard Krylov subspace(s) of dimension $K \rightsquigarrow$ moment-matching methods/Padé approximation,

$$\frac{d^i}{ds^i}G(s_1)=\frac{d^i}{ds^i}\hat{G}(s_1), \quad i=0,\ldots, K-1(+K).$$



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems Input-output characterization of bilinear systems

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook Recall: bilinear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Nxu + Bu, \quad y = Cx,$$

For I/O-behavior, generalize concepts for linear systems by Volterra series

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{k-1}} h(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) u(t - t_1 - \dots - t_k)$$

....u(t - t_k)dt_kdt_1

$$h(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = c^T e^{At_k} N \cdots e^{At_2} N e^{At_1} b$$

$$\rightarrow \text{degree-} k \text{ kernel}$$

multivariable Laplace transform

$$H(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) = c^T (s_k I - A)^{-1} N \cdots (s_2 I - A)^{-1} N (s_1 I - A)^{-1} b$$

$$\rightarrow k\text{-th transfer function}$$



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems High frequency multimoments

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction $s_i = \xi_i^{-1}$:

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

$$H(s_1, \dots, s_k) = c^T (s_k I - A)^{-1} N \cdots (s_2 I - A)^{-1} N (s_1 I - A)^{-1} b$$

= $c^T (\xi_k^{-1} I - A)^{-1} N \cdots (\xi_2^{-1} I - A)^{-1} N (\xi_1^{-1} I - A)^{-1} b$
= $c^T \xi_k (I - \xi_k A)^{-1} N \cdots \xi_2 (I - \xi_2 A)^{-1} N \xi_1 (I - \xi_1 A)^{-1} b$

for $\xi_i \to 0$ ($s_i \to \infty$) use Neumann expansion:

$$(I - \xi_i A)^{-1} = \sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i^{l_i} A^{l_i}$$

$$H(s_1,...,s_k) = \sum_{l_k=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{l_1=1}^{\infty} m(l_1,...,l_k) s_1^{-l_1} \dots s_k^{-l_k}$$
$$m(l_1,...,l_k) = c^T A^{l_k-1} N \dots A^{l_2-1} N A^{l_1-1} b$$

 \rightarrow high frequency multimoments



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems High frequency multimoments

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction $s = \xi^{-1}$.

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook

$$\begin{aligned} S_{I} &= \zeta_{I}^{T} \\ H(s_{1}, \dots, s_{k}) &= c^{T}(s_{k}I - A)^{-1}N \cdots (s_{2}I - A)^{-1}N(s_{1}I - A)^{-1}b \\ &= c^{T}(\xi_{k}^{-1}I - A)^{-1}N \cdots (\xi_{2}^{-1}I - A)^{-1}N(\xi_{1}^{-1}I - A)^{-1}b \\ &= c^{T}\xi_{k}(I - \xi_{k}A)^{-1}N \cdots \xi_{2}(I - \xi_{2}A)^{-1}N\xi_{1}(I - \xi_{1}A)^{-1}b \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi_i \rightarrow 0$ ($s_i \rightarrow \infty$) use Neumann expansion:

$$(I - \xi_i A)^{-1} = \sum_{l_i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i^{l_i} A^{l_i}$$

 $m(l_1) = c^T A^{l_1 - 1} b \qquad \text{Markov parameters}$ $m(l_1, l_2) = c^T A^{l_2 - 1} N A^{l_1 - 1} b$ $m(l_1, l_2, l_3) = c^T A^{l_3 - 1} N A^{l_2 - 1} N A^{l_1 - 1} b$



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems Arbitrary expansion points

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook Similar for $s_i \rightarrow \sigma_i \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$H(s_1,...,s_k) = \sum_{l_k=1}^{\infty} ... \sum_{l_1=1}^{\infty} m(l_1,...,l_k) s_1^{l_1-1} \cdots s_k^{l_k-1}$$

$$m(l_1,\ldots,l_k)=(-1)^k c^T (A-\sigma_k I)^{-l_k} N\cdots (A-\sigma_2 I)^{-l_2} N (A-\sigma_1 I)^{-l_1} b$$

special case $\sigma_i = 0$:

$$m(I_1,...,I_k) = (-1)^k c^T A^{-I_k} N \cdots A^{-I_2} N A^{-I_1} b$$

 \rightarrow low frequency multimoments



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems Model reduction Ingredients

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Matching multi-moments:

- multimoments locally characterize input-output behaviour
- construct reduced system Σ that matches q^k multimoments of the first r subsystems of the original system

$$m(l_1,\ldots,l_k)\stackrel{!}{=} \hat{m}(l_1,\ldots,l_k), \quad k=1,\ldots,r, \quad l_j=1,\ldots,q$$

Construct reduced system by Petrov-Galerkin projection:

$$\hat{\Sigma}: \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}}(t) = \underbrace{W^T A V}_{\hat{A}} \hat{x}(t) + \underbrace{W^T N V}_{\hat{N}} \hat{x}(t) u(t) + \underbrace{W^T b}_{\hat{b}} u(t), \\ \hat{y}(t) = \underbrace{c^T V}_{\hat{c}^T} \hat{x}(t), \quad x(t) \approx V \hat{x}(t) \end{cases}$$

with $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}, W^T V = I$.

Use sequence of nested Krylov subspaces

$$\mathcal{K}_q(A, b) = span\left\{b, Ab, \dots, A^{q-1}b\right\}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems Model reduction Ingredients

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Matching multi-moments:

- multimoments locally characterize input-output behaviour
- construct reduced system Σ that matches q^k multimoments of the first r subsystems of the original system

$$m(l_1,...,l_k) \stackrel{!}{=} \hat{m}(l_1,...,l_k), \quad k = 1,...,r, \quad l_j = 1,...,q$$

Construct reduced system by Petrov-Galerkin projection:

$$\hat{\Sigma}: \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}}(t) = \underbrace{W^T A V}_{\hat{A}} \hat{x}(t) + \underbrace{W^T N V}_{\hat{N}} \hat{x}(t) u(t) + \underbrace{W^T b}_{\hat{b}} u(t), \\ \hat{y}(t) = \underbrace{c^T V}_{\hat{c}^T} \hat{x}(t), \quad x(t) \approx V \hat{x}(t) \end{cases}$$

with $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}, W^T V = I$.

Use sequence of nested Krylov subspaces

$$\mathcal{K}_q(A, b) = span\left\{b, Ab, \dots, A^{q-1}b\right\}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems Model reduction Ingredients

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Matching multi-moments:

- multimoments locally characterize input-output behaviour
- construct reduced system Σ that matches q^k multimoments of the first r subsystems of the original system

$$m(l_1,...,l_k) \stackrel{!}{=} \hat{m}(l_1,...,l_k), \quad k = 1,...,r, \quad l_j = 1,...,q$$

Construct reduced system by Petrov-Galerkin projection:

$$\hat{\Sigma}: \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}}(t) = \underbrace{W^T A V}_{\hat{A}} \hat{x}(t) + \underbrace{W^T N V}_{\hat{N}} \hat{x}(t) u(t) + \underbrace{W^T b}_{\hat{b}} u(t), \\ \hat{y}(t) = \underbrace{c^T V}_{\hat{c}^T} \hat{x}(t), \quad x(t) \approx V \hat{x}(t) \end{cases}$$

with $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}, W^T V = I$.

Use sequence of nested Krylov subspaces

$$\mathcal{K}_q(A,b) = span\left\{b,Ab,\ldots,A^{q-1}b
ight\}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}, \ b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems One-sided methods: high frequency multimoments

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Theorem

Let a bilinear SISO system Σ be given. • $span\{V^{(1)}\} = \mathcal{K}_q(A, b),$ • $span\{V^{(k)}\} = \mathcal{K}_q(A, NV^{(k-1)}), \quad k = 2, ..., r$ • $span\{V\} = span\{\bigcup_{k=1}^r span\{V^{(k)}\}\}$

• W arbitrary left inverse of V

$$\rightarrow m(l_1,\ldots,l_k) = \hat{m}(l_1,\ldots,l_k), \ k = 1,\ldots,r, \ l_j = 1,\ldots,q$$

Example:

$$V^{(1)} = \mathcal{K}_{10}(A, b), \quad V^{(2)} = \mathcal{K}_{4}(A, NV^{(1)}_{[4]})$$

$$c^{T} A^{l_{1}-1} b = \hat{c}^{T} \hat{A}^{l_{1}-1} \hat{b}, \qquad l_{1} = 1, \dots, 10$$

$$c^{T} A^{l_{2}-1} N A^{l_{1}-1} b = \hat{c}^{T} \hat{A}^{l_{2}-1} \hat{N} \hat{A}^{l_{1}-1} \hat{b}, \qquad l_{1}, l_{2} = 1, \dots, 4$$



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems One-sided methods: high frequency multimoments

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Theorem

Let a bilinear SISO system Σ be given.

- $span\{V^{(1)}\} = \mathcal{K}_q(A, b),$
- $span{V^{(k)}} = \mathcal{K}_q(A, NV^{(k-1)}), \quad k = 2, ..., r$
- $span\{V\} = span\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{r} span\{V^{(k)}\}\}$
- W arbitrary left inverse of V

$$\rightarrow m(l_1,\ldots,l_k) = \hat{m}(l_1,\ldots,l_k), \ k = 1,\ldots,r, \ l_j = 1,\ldots,q$$

Example:

$$V^{(1)} = \mathcal{K}_{10}(A, b), \quad V^{(2)} = \mathcal{K}_{4}(A, NV^{(1)}_{[4]})$$

$$c^{T} A^{l_{1}-1} b = \hat{c}^{T} \hat{A}^{l_{1}-1} \hat{b}, \qquad l_{1} = 1, \dots, 10$$

$$c^{T} A^{l_{2}-1} N A^{l_{1}-1} b = \hat{c}^{T} \hat{A}^{l_{2}-1} \hat{N} \hat{A}^{l_{1}-1} \hat{b}, \qquad l_{1}, l_{2} = 1, \dots, 4$$



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems One-sided methods: arbitrary multimoments

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook Multimoment-matching for different expansion points to cover broader frequency range:

Theorem

Let a bilinear SISO system $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ be given.

- span{ $V^{(1)}$ } = $\mathcal{K}_q((A \sigma_1 I)^{-1}, (A \sigma_1 I)^{-1}b),$
- span{ $V^{(k)}$ } = $\mathcal{K}_q((A \sigma_k I)^{-1}, (A \sigma_k I)^{-1}NV^{(k-1)}),$
- $span\{V\} = span\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{r} span\{V^{(k)}\}\right\}$
- W arbitrary left inverse of V

 $\rightarrow m(l_1,\ldots,l_k) = \hat{m}(l_1,\ldots,l_k), \ k = 1,\ldots,r, \ l_j = 1,\ldots,q$

Special cases:

- $V^T V = I, W^T = V^T$
 - \rightarrow orthogonal projection
 - \rightarrow first approach, proposed by $\rm [PHILLIPS~'03],$ see also $\rm [B./FENG~'07]$ for multi-moment matching proof.



Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems One-sided methods: arbitrary multimoments

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook Multimoment-matching for different expansion points to cover broader frequency range:

Theorem

Let a bilinear SISO system $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ be given.

- span{ $V^{(1)}$ } = $\mathcal{K}_q((A \sigma_1 I)^{-1}, (A \sigma_1 I)^{-1}b)$,
- span{ $V^{(k)}$ } = $\mathcal{K}_q((A \sigma_k I)^{-1}, (A \sigma_k I)^{-1}NV^{(k-1)}),$
- $span\{V\} = span\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{r} span\{V^{(k)}\}\right\}$
- W arbitrary left inverse of V

 $\rightarrow m(l_1,\ldots,l_k) = \hat{m}(l_1,\ldots,l_k), \ k = 1,\ldots,r, \ l_j = 1,\ldots,q$

Special cases:

- $V^T V = I, W^T = (V^T A^{-1} V)^{-1} V^T A^{-1}$
 - \rightarrow multiply state equation by A^{-1} , proposed by [SKOOGH/BAI '06]

 \rightarrow seems to yield better results for bilinearized systems.


Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Bilinear Systems Two-sided methods

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook Better choices for projection matrix W?

- $span\{W^{(1)}\} = \mathcal{K}_q(A^T, c),$
- $span\{W^{(k)}\} = \mathcal{K}_q(A^T, N^T W^{(k-1)}), \quad k = 2, ..., r$
- $span\{W\} = span\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{r} span\{W^{(k)}\}\}$

$$V^{(1)} = \mathcal{K}_6(A, b), \ W^{(1)} = \mathcal{K}_6(A^T, c)$$

 $m(l_1) = \hat{m}(l_1), l_1 = 1, \dots, 12, \ m(l_1, l_2) = \hat{m}(l_1, l_2), l_1, l_2 = 1, \dots, 6$

 \rightarrow significantly more multimoments are preserved.

 \rightarrow Number of matched subsystems automatically doubles.



- Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction
- Peter Benner
- Introduction to MOR
- Balanced Truncation
- Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR
- Conclusions an Outlook



v(t) : node voltages $v_1(t),\ldots,v_N(t), \quad N=50
ightarrow dim \Sigma=2550$

u(t): independent current source, C = 1, g(v) = exp(40v) + v - 1

y(t) : voltage between node 1 and ground



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Projection subspaces:

- High frequency multimoments (∞): $V^{(1)} = \mathcal{K}_{19}(A, b),$ $V^{(2)} = \mathcal{K}_4(A, NV^{(1)}_{[4]})$ $V = V^{(1)} \cup V^{(2)}, \quad V^T V = I$
- Low frequency multimoments $(\sigma_j = 0)$: $V^{(1)} = \mathcal{K}_{19}(A^{-1}, A^{-1}b),$ $V^{(2)} = \mathcal{K}_4(A^{-1}, A^{-1}NV^{(1)}_{[4]})$ $V = V^{(1)} \cup V^{(2)}, V^T V = I$
- Multiple interpolation points $(\sigma_j = 0, 1, 10, 100, \infty)$: e.g. $\sigma_j = 10$: $V^{(1)} = \mathcal{K}_{q_1}((A - 10 \cdot I)^{-1}, (A - 10 \cdot I)^{-1}b)$ $V^{(2)} = \mathcal{K}_{q_2}((A - 10 \cdot I)^{-1}, (A - 10 \cdot I)^{-1}NV^{(1)}_{[p]})$
- \rightarrow First and second order multimoments are preserved.



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction t MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook







Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook











Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction t MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook











Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction t MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook











Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook Many nonlinear dynamics can be modeled by quadratic bilinear differential algebraic equations (QBDAEs), i.e.

$$E\dot{x} = A_1 x + A_2 x \otimes x + N x u + b u,$$

$$y = c x,$$

where $E, A_1, N \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n^2}, b, c^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- Combination of quadratic and bilinear control systems.
- Variational analysis allows characterization of input-output behavior via generalized transfer functions, e.g.

$$H_{1}(s) = c \underbrace{(sE - A_{1})^{-1}b}_{G(s)},$$

$$H_{2}(s_{1}, s_{2}) = \frac{1}{2}c \left((s_{1} + s_{2})E - A_{1}\right)^{-1} [A_{2}(G(s_{1}) \otimes G(s_{2}) + G(s_{2}) \otimes G(s_{1})) + N(G(s_{1}) + G(s_{2}))]$$



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Nonlinear MOR

Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Quadratic-Bilinear Approximations

Which systems can be transformed?

Theorem [Gu '09]

Assume that the state equation of a nonlinear system Σ is given by

$$\dot{x} = a_0 x + a_1 g_1(x) + \ldots + a_k g_k(x) + b u_k$$

where $g_i(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are compositions of rational, exponential, logarithmic, trigonometric or root functions, respectively. Then Σ can be transformed into a quadratic bilinear differential algebraic equation of dimension N > n.

- transformation is not unique
- original system has to be increased before reduction is possible
- minimal dimension N?

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Example

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions an Outlook • Consider the following two dimensional nonlinear control system:

$$\dot{x}_1 = \exp(-x_2) \cdot \sqrt{x_1^2 + 1},$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = \sin x_2 + u.$$

Introduce useful new state variables, e.g.

$$x_3 := \exp(-x_2), \ x_4 := \sqrt{x_1^2 + 1}, \ x_5 := \sin x_2, \ x_6 := \cos x_2.$$

• System can be replaced by a QBDAE of dimension 6:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_1 &= x_3 \cdot x_4, & \dot{x}_2 &= x_5 + u, \\ \dot{x}_3 &= -x_3 \cdot (x_5 + u), & \dot{x}_4 &= \frac{2 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3 \cdot x_4}{2 \cdot x_4}, \\ \dot{x}_5 &= x_6 \cdot (x_5 + u), & \dot{x}_6 &= -x_5 \cdot (x_5 + u). \end{split}$$

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Quadratic-Bilinear Approximations

Multi-moment-Matching for QBDAEs

• Construct reduced order model by projection:

$$\hat{E} = Z^T E Z, \quad \hat{A}_1 = Z^T A_1 Z, \quad \hat{N} = Z^T N Z, \hat{A}_2 = Z^T A_2 Z \otimes Z, \quad \hat{b} = Z^T b, \quad \hat{c} = c Z$$

 Approximate values and derivatives ("multi-moments") of transfer functions around an expansion point σ using Krylov spaces, e.g.

$$span\{V\} = \mathcal{K}_{6} (A_{\sigma} E, A_{\sigma} b)$$

$$span\{W_{1}\} = \mathcal{K}_{3} (A_{2\sigma} E, A_{2\sigma} (A_{2} V_{1} \otimes V_{1} - N_{1} V_{1}))$$

$$span\{W_{2}\} = \mathcal{K}_{2} (A_{2\sigma} E, A_{2\sigma} (A_{2} (V_{2} \otimes V_{1} + V_{1} \otimes V_{2}) - N_{1} V_{2}))$$

$$span\{W_{3}\} = \mathcal{K}_{1} (A_{2\sigma} E, A_{2\sigma} (A_{2} (V_{2} \otimes V_{2} + V_{2} \otimes V_{2})))$$

$$span\{W_{4}\} = \mathcal{K}_{1} (A_{2\sigma} E, A_{2\sigma} (A_{2} (V_{3} \otimes V_{1} + V_{1} \otimes V_{3}) - N_{1} V_{3})),$$

with $A_{\sigma} = (A_1 - \sigma E)^{-1}$ and V_i denoting the i-th column of $V \rightarrow$ derivatives match up to order 5 (H_1) and 2 (H_2), respectively.

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Numerical Example

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Moving Frontiers: Moment Matching for Quadratic-Bilinear Approximations

• FitzHugh-Nagumo system: simple model for neuron (de-)activation.

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon v_t(x,t) &= \epsilon^2 v_{xx}(x,t) + f(v(x,t)) - w(x,t) + g, \\ w_t(x,t) &= hv(x,t) - \gamma w(x,t) + g, \end{aligned}$$

with f(v) = v(v - 0.1)(1 - v) and initial and boundary conditions

$$egin{aligned} &v(x,0)=0, &w(x,0)=0, &x\in[0,1]\ &v_x(0,t)=-i_0(t), &v_x(1,t)=0, &t\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon = 0.015$, h = 0.5, $\gamma = 2$, g = 0.05, $i_0(t) = 50000t^3 \exp(-15t)$

- parameter g handled as an additional input
- original state dimension $n = 2 \cdot 400$, QBDAE dimension $N = 3 \cdot 400$, reduced QBDAE dimension r = 26, chosen expansion point $\sigma = 1$

[B./BREITEN 2010]



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Numerical Example

2d Phase Space

[B./BREITEN 2010]



Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction Introduction Bilinear MOR Nonlinear MOR

Conclusions and Outlook

Numerical Example

3d Phase Space

[B./BREITEN 2010]



Conclusions and Outlook

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Model reduction for nonlinear systems based on

- Carleman bilinearization and bilinear Balanced Truncation,
- QBDAE transformation and multi-moment matching

has high potential for many classes of nonlinear dynamical systems.

Current work:

- High dimensions can be dealt with using tensor product structures of coefficient matrices — already done for bilinear Krylov subspaces [CON-DON/IVANOV '07], for Gramian computation in progress [B./DAMM].
 - QBDAE is exact for many nonlinearities, e.g.
 - + reaction-diffusion systems and population balances;
 - + various PDEs with nonlinear convective terms x.∇x:
 Burgers, Euler, Navier-Stokes, Kuramoto-Sivashinsky eqns;

hence, reduced-order model will have the same nonlinear structure.

- Enhance efficiency of QBDAE approach using tensor decomposition, low-rank and sparse approximations.



Conclusions and Outlook

Moving Frontiers in Model Reduction

Peter Benner

Introduction to MOR

Balanced Truncation

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Conclusions and Outlook Model reduction for nonlinear systems based on

- Carleman bilinearization and bilinear Balanced Truncation,
- QBDAE transformation and multi-moment matching

has high potential for many classes of nonlinear dynamical systems.

Thank you for your attention!