

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR DYNAMICS OF COMPLEX TECHNICAL SYSTEMS MAGDEBURG



COMPUTATIONAL METHODS IN SYSTEMS AND CONTROL THEORY 20 YEARS

New Gramians for Switched Linear Systems: Reachability, Observability, and Model Reduction

Peter Benner, Sara Grundel and Igor Pontes Duff

GAMM FA Dynamik und Regelungstheorie April 19–20, 2018, Berlin, Germany



A Switched Linear Sytem (SLS) is a control system of the form

$$\Sigma_{SLS}: \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + B_{\sigma(t)}u(t), & x(0) = x_0, \\ y(t) = C_{\sigma(t)}x(t). \end{cases}$$

- $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ state, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ input, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ output.
- $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ is the set of modes.
- $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \Omega$ is the switching signal, a piecewise constant function, *i.e.*,

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} q_1, & t \in [0, T_1), \\ q_2, & t \in [T_1, T_2), \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$



A Switched Linear Sytem (SLS) is a control system of the form

$$\Sigma_{SLS} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + B_{\sigma(t)}u(t), & x(0) = x_0, \\ y(t) = C_{\sigma(t)}x(t). \end{cases}$$

- $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ state, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ input, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ output.
- $\blacksquare \ \Omega = \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}$ is the set of modes.
- $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \Omega$ is the switching signal, a piecewise constant function, *i.e.*,

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} q_1, & t \in [0, T_1), \\ q_2, & t \in [T_1, T_2), \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

Assumptions:

- Zero initial condition, *i.e.*, x(0) = 0.
- The matrices A_j are Hurwitz for $j \in \Omega$.





Time (sec)



Given a large-scale SLS

$$\Sigma_{SLS} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + B_{\sigma(t)}u(t), \\ y(t) = C_{\sigma(t)}x(t), \quad x(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$



Sc CSC Projection-based framework

Given a large-scale SLS

$$\Sigma_{SLS} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + B_{\sigma(t)}u(t), \\ y(t) = C_{\sigma(t)}x(t), \quad x(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

find global projection matrices

$$V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}, \quad W^T V = I_r,$$

(with $r \ll n$), such that

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{SLS}: \begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}}(t) &=& \hat{A}_{\sigma(t)}\hat{x}(t) + \hat{B}_{\sigma(t)}u(t), \\ \hat{y}(t) &=& \hat{C}_{\sigma(t)}\hat{x}(t), \quad \hat{x}(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \hat{A}_j &= W^T A_j V, \ \ \hat{B}_j = W^T B_j, \\ \text{and} \ \ \hat{C}_j &= C_j V \ \ \text{for} \ j \in \Omega. \end{split}$$











Moment matching methods

• Model reduction by nice selections for linear switched systems.

[Bastug/Petreczky/Wisniewski '16]

csc Existing common approaches for SLS

Moment matching methods

• Model reduction by nice selections for linear switched systems.

[Bastug/Petreczky/Wisniewski '16]

Balancing-type methods involving LMIs

Generalised Gramian framework involving LMIs.

[Shaker/Wisniewski '11] [Petreczky/Wisniewski/Leth '13]

$$A_j \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P} A_j^T + B_j B_j^T < 0$$
 and $A_j^T \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q} A_j + C_j^T C_j < 0.$

Sc Existing common approaches for SLS

Moment matching methods

• Model reduction by nice selections for linear switched systems.

```
[Bastug/Petreczky/Wisniewski '16]
```

Balancing-type methods involving LMIs

Generalised Gramian framework involving LMIs.

[Shaker/Wisniewski '11] [Petreczky/Wisniewski/Leth '13]

Balancing-type methods involving matrix equations

A simultaneous balanced truncation approach.

3.4

[Monshizadeh/Trentelman/Camlibel '12]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{avg}} = \sum_{j=1}^{_{M}} \mathcal{P}_{j}, \quad \mathsf{where} \quad A_{j}\mathcal{P}_{j} + \mathcal{P}_{j}A_{j}^{^{T}} + B_{j}B_{j}^{^{T}} = 0.$$

Sc Existing common approaches for SLS

Moment matching methods

• Model reduction by nice selections for linear switched systems.

[Bastug/Petreczky/Wisniewski '16]

Balancing-type methods involving LMIs

Generalised Gramian framework involving LMIs.

[Shaker/Wisniewski '11] [Petreczky/Wisniewski/Leth '13]

Balancing-type methods involving matrix equations

A simultaneous balanced truncation approach.

3.4

[Monshizadeh/Trentelman/Camlibel '12]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{avg}} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \mathcal{P}_j, \text{ where } A_j \mathcal{P}_j + \mathcal{P}_j A_j^T + B_j B_j^T = 0.$$

Balanced truncation based on realization with coupling matrices.

[Gosea/Petreczky/Antoulas/Fiter '17]



$$\Sigma: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu\\ y = Cx \end{array} \right.$$



$$\Sigma: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu\\ y = Cx \end{array} \right.$$

Reachability and observability Gramians are symmetric positive semi-definite matrices given by:

$$\mathcal{P} = \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{At} B(e^{At}B)^T dt,$$
$$\mathcal{Q} = \int_{0}^{+\infty} (Ce^{At})^T Ce^{At} dt,$$

which satisfy Lyapunov equations

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + BB^T &= 0, \\ A^T\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + C^TC &= 0. \end{aligned}$$



$$\Sigma: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu\\ y = Cx \end{array} \right.$$

Reachability and observability Gramians are symmetric positive semi-definite matrices given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{At} B(e^{At}B)^T dt, \\ \mathcal{Q} &= \int_{0}^{+\infty} (Ce^{At})^T Ce^{At} dt, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy Lyapunov equations

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + BB^T &= 0, \\ A^T\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + C^TC &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

• \mathcal{P} encodes the states that are important in the transfer $u \mapsto x$.

 $\forall u \in L_2, x(0) \in \text{range}(\mathcal{P}),$ $x(t) \in \text{range}(\mathcal{P}).$



$$\Sigma: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu\\ y = Cx \end{array} \right.$$

Reachability and observability Gramians are symmetric positive semi-definite matrices given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{At} B(e^{At}B)^T dt, \\ \mathcal{Q} &= \int_{0}^{+\infty} (Ce^{At})^T Ce^{At} dt, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy Lyapunov equations

$$A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + BB^T = 0, A^T\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + C^TC = 0.$$

• \mathcal{P} encodes the states that are important in the transfer $u \mapsto x$.

 $\forall u \in L_2, x(0) \in \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{P}), \\ x(t) \in \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{P}).$

■ Q encodes the states that are important in the transfer x → y.

 $x_0 \in \ker\left(\mathcal{Q}\right), \ Ce^{At}x_0 = 0.$



$$\Sigma: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu\\ y = Cx \end{array} \right.$$

Reachability and observability Gramians are symmetric positive semi-definite matrices given by:

$$\mathcal{P} = \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{At} B(e^{At}B)^T dt,$$
$$\mathcal{Q} = \int_{0}^{+\infty} (Ce^{At})^T Ce^{At} dt,$$

which satisfy Lyapunov equations

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + BB^T &= 0, \\ A^T\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + C^TC &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

• \mathcal{P} encodes the states that are important in the transfer $u \mapsto x$.

 $\forall u \in L_2, x(0) \in \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{P}), \\ x(t) \in \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{P}).$

■ Q encodes the states that are important in the transfer x → y.

 $x_0 \in \ker\left(\mathcal{Q}\right), \ Ce^{At}x_0 = 0.$

- System is balanced if $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q} = \operatorname{diag} (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n).$
- Truncation of states leading to error bound

$$\|\Sigma - \hat{\Sigma}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \le 2\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \sigma_j.$$

csc) Reachable and observable sets

For linear systems (A,B,C), A Hurwitz matrix, and \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} matrices such that $A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + BB^T = 0$, $A^T\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + C^TC = 0$. Then,

$$\operatorname{range}(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A^{j} \operatorname{range}(B) = \mathcal{R} := \operatorname{Reachable space},$$

$$\operatorname{range}(\mathcal{Q}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^{T})^{j} \operatorname{range}(C^{T}) = \mathcal{O} := \operatorname{Observable space}.$$

csc Reachable and observable sets

For linear systems (A,B,C), A Hurwitz matrix, and \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} matrices such that $A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + BB^T = 0$, $A^T\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + C^TC = 0$. Then,

range
$$(\mathcal{P})$$
 = $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A^j$ range (B) = \mathcal{R} := Reachable space,
range (\mathcal{Q}) = $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^T)^j$ range (C^T) = \mathcal{O} := Observable space.

For a SLS, $\left[Sun/Ge/Lee~'02 \right]$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i_0, \dots, i_{k-1} \in \Omega}^{j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}} A_{i_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}} \dots A_{i_1}^{j_1} \operatorname{range} \left(B_{i_0} \right) \right) &= \mathcal{R} := \text{Reachable space}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i_0, \dots, i_{k-1} \in \Omega}^{j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}} (A_{i_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}})^T \dots (A_{i_1}^{j_1})^T \operatorname{range} \left(C_{i_0}^T \right) \right) &= \mathcal{O} := \text{Observable space}. \end{split}$$

csc Reachable and observable sets

For linear systems (A,B,C), A Hurwitz matrix, and \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} matrices such that $A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + BB^T = 0$, $A^T\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + C^TC = 0$. Then,

range
$$(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A^j$$
 range $(B) = \mathcal{R}$:= Reachable space,
range $(\mathcal{Q}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^T)^j$ range $(C^T) = \mathcal{O}$:= Observable space.

For a SLS, $\left[Sun/Ge/Lee~'02 \right]$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i_0, \dots, i_{k-1} \in \Omega}^{j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}} A_{i_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}} \dots A_{i_1}^{j_1} \operatorname{range} \left(B_{i_0} \right) \right) &= \mathcal{R} := \text{Reachable space}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i_0, \dots, i_{k-1} \in \Omega}^{j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}} (A_{i_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}})^T \dots (A_{i_1}^{j_1})^T \operatorname{range} \left(C_{i_0}^T \right) \right) &= \mathcal{O} := \text{Observable space}. \end{split}$$

Goal: Find matrix equations encoding the (span of) reachabillity and observaility sets.



$$\Sigma_{SLS} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + B_{\sigma(t)}u(t), \\ y(t) = C_{\sigma(t)}x(t), \end{cases}$$



$$\Sigma_{SLS}: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + B_{\sigma(t)}u(t), \\ y(t) = C_{\sigma(t)}x(t), \end{array} \right.$$

let

$$A = A_1, \quad D_j = A_j - A_1, \text{ for } j \in \Omega.$$



$$\Sigma_{SLS}: \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + B_{\sigma(t)}u(t), \\ y(t) = C_{\sigma(t)}x(t), \end{cases}$$

let

$$A = A_1, \quad D_j = A_j - A_1, \text{ for } j \in \Omega.$$

In addition, let us introduce switching indicators $\{\sigma_1(t), \ldots, \sigma_M(t)\}$ taking $\{0, 1\}$ values, $\sum_{k=1}^M \sigma_k(t) = 1.$



$$\Sigma_{SLS}: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + B_{\sigma(t)}u(t), \\ y(t) = C_{\sigma(t)}x(t), \end{array} \right.$$

let

$$A = A_1, \quad D_j = A_j - A_1, \text{ for } j \in \Omega.$$

In addition, let us introduce switching indicators $\{\sigma_1(t), \ldots, \sigma_M(t)\}$ taking $\{0, 1\}$ values, M $\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ \text{Therefore,}}}^{m} \sigma_k(t) = 1.$

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) D_j x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) B_j u(t),$$
$$y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) C_j x(t).$$



$$\Sigma_{SLS} = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) D_j x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) B_j u(t), \\ y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) C_j x(t). \end{cases}$$



$$\Sigma_{SLS} = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) D_j x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) B_j u(t), \\ y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) C_j x(t). \end{cases}$$

Very similar to a bilinear system realization as

$$\Sigma_{\text{Bilinear}} = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} u_j(t) N_j x(t) + Bu(t), \\ y(t) = Cx(t). \end{cases}$$



$$\Sigma_{SLS} = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) D_j x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) B_j u(t), \\ y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) C_j x(t). \end{cases}$$

Very similar to a bilinear system realization as

$$\Sigma_{\text{Bilinear}} = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} u_j(t) N_j x(t) + Bu(t), \\ y(t) = Cx(t). \end{cases}$$

which is associated with generalized Gramians $\mathcal{P},\,\mathcal{Q},\,\text{satisfying}$

$$A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^{T} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} N_{j}\mathcal{P}N_{j}^{T} + BB^{T} = 0,$$
$$A^{T}\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + \sum_{j=1}^{M} N_{j}^{T}\mathcal{Q}N_{j} + CC^{T} = 0.$$



$$\Sigma_{SLS} = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) D_j x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) B_j u(t), \\ y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j(t) C_j x(t). \end{cases}$$

Inspired by the bilinear Gramians, we propose the following generalize Lyapunov equations to be associated to SLS:

SLS Gramians :
$$\begin{cases} A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j \mathcal{P}D_j^T + B_j B_j^T \right) &= 0, \\ A^T \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j^T \mathcal{Q}D_j + C_j^T C_j \right) &= 0, \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are supposed to be the reachability and observability Gramians.

csc Gramian-based control sets

The proposed Gramians indeed encode the reachable and observable sets.

SLS Gramians :
$$\begin{cases} A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j \mathcal{P}D_j^T + B_j B_j^T \right) = 0, \\ A^T \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j^T \mathcal{Q}D_j + C_j^T C_j \right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

csc Gramian-based control sets

The proposed Gramians indeed encode the reachable and observable sets.

SLS Gramians :
$$\begin{cases} A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j \mathcal{P}D_j^T + B_j B_j^T \right) &= 0, \\ A^T \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j^T \mathcal{Q}D_j + C_j^T C_j \right) &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem: Gramian-base characterization of reachable and observable sets

Let \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} be the solutions of the generalized Lyapunov equations. Then, the reachable and observable spaces \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O} are given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{P}) \text{ and } \mathcal{O} = \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{Q}).$$

The proof follows by showing that

range
$$(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i_0, \dots, i_{k-1} \in \Omega}^{j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}} A_{i_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}} \dots A_{i_1}^{j_1} \operatorname{range} (B_{i_0}) \right).$$



Proposition (reachability and observability criteria)

Given a SLS, and suppose that \mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q} are solution of the generalized Lyapunov equations. Then,

1. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is completely reachable if and only if

range $(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbb{R}^n$.

2. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is completely observable if and only if

range $(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{R}^n$.



Let us consider Σ , a 2-modes SLS:

$$A_{1} = -I_{8}, \quad A_{2} = A_{1} + D,$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
and
$$B_{1}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_{2}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Let us consider Σ , a 2-modes SLS:

$$A_1 = -I_8, \quad A_2 = A_1 + D,$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
and
$$B_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proposed reachability Gramian is

$$\mathcal{P} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$



Let us consider Σ , a 2-modes SLS:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -I_8, \quad A_2 = A_1 + D, \\ D &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \text{and} \qquad \begin{aligned} B_1^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ B_2^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The proposed reachability Gramian is

$$\mathcal{P} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

 ∑ is not completely reachable (dim(range (P)) ≠ 8).



Let us consider $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\text{, a 2-modes SLS:}$

$$A_1 = -I_8, \quad A_2 = A_1 + D,$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and
$$B_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$B_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proposed reachability Gramian is

$$\mathcal{P} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

 ∑ is not completely reachable (dim(range (P)) ≠ 8). The reachable set is

$$\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{range} (\mathcal{P})$$

= span (e₁, e₂, e₃, e₄, e₈).



Let us consider $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\text{, a 2-modes SLS:}$

$$A_1 = -I_8, \quad A_2 = A_1 + D,$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and
$$B_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$B_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proposed reachability Gramian is

$$\mathcal{P} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

 ∑ is not completely reachable (dim(range(P)) ≠ 8). The reachable set is

$$\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{range} (\mathcal{P})$$

= span (e₁, e₂, e₃, e₄, e₈).

 The average reachability Gramian ([Monshizadeh '12]) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\text{avg}} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) \\ &= \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$



Let us consider $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\text{, a 2-modes SLS:}$

$$A_1 = -I_8, \quad A_2 = A_1 + D,$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and
$$B_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$B_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proposed reachability Gramian is

$$\mathcal{P} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

 ∑ is not completely reachable (dim(range(P)) ≠ 8). The reachable set is

$$\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{range} (\mathcal{P})$$

= span (e₁, e₂, e₃, e₄, e₈).

 The average reachability Gramian ([Monshizadeh '12]) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\text{avg}} &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) \\ &= \text{diag} \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- range (\mathcal{P}_{avg}) does not encode the reachable set.
- In general, range $(\mathcal{P}_{avg}) \subseteq \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{P})$.



1: procedure Input: A_j, B_j, C_j ,



1: procedure Input: A_j, B_j, C_j, for for $j \in \Omega$. Set $A_1 = A, D_j = A_j - A_1$. 2: Solve $A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(D_j \mathcal{P}D_j^T + B_j B_j^T \right) = 0,$ $A^T \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(D_j^T \mathcal{Q}D_j + C_j^T C_j \right) = 0.$



1: procedure Input: A_j, B_j, C_j, for for $j \in \Omega$. Set $A_1 = A, D_j = A_j - A_1$. 2: Solve $A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j \mathcal{P}D_j^T + B_j B_j^T \right) = 0,$ $A^T \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j^T \mathcal{Q}D_j + C_j^T C_j \right) = 0.$

3: Compute Cholesky decomposition $\mathcal{P} = SS^T$ and $\mathcal{Q} = RR^T$.



1: procedure Input: $A_j, B_j, C_j, for \text{ for } j \in \Omega$. Set $A_1 = A, D_j = A_j - A_1$. 2: Solve $A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j \mathcal{P}D_j^T + B_j B_j^T \right) = 0,$ $A^T \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j^T \mathcal{Q}D_j + C_j^T C_j \right) = 0.$

- 3: Compute Cholesky decomposition $\mathcal{P} = SS^T$ and $\mathcal{Q} = RR^T$.
- 4: Compute SVD of $S^T R$ and write as

$$S^{T}R = U\Sigma V^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{1} & U_{2} \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag} (\Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}) \begin{bmatrix} V_{1} & V_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{T}.$$



1: procedure Input: $A_j, B_j, C_j, for \text{ for } j \in \Omega$. Set $A_1 = A, D_j = A_j - A_1$. 2: Solve $A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j \mathcal{P}D_j^T + B_j B_j^T \right) = 0,$ $A^T \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + \sum_{j=1}^M \left(D_j^T \mathcal{Q}D_j + C_j^T C_j \right) = 0.$

- 3: Compute Cholesky decomposition $\mathcal{P} = SS^T$ and $\mathcal{Q} = RR^T$.
- 4: Compute SVD of $S^T R$ and write as

$$S^T R = U \Sigma V^T = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag} (\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2) \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

5: Construct the projection matrices

$$V = SU_1 \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 and $W = RV_1 \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Output: Reduced order matrices $\hat{A}_j, \hat{B}_j, \hat{C}_j$, for $j \in \Omega$. 6: end procedure



For the next experiment, let us consider a 2-modes SLS of order $n=300,\,{\rm whose}$ matrices are given by

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & & \\ 0.1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & 0.1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0.5 & & \\ 1 & -2 & 0.5 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & 0.1 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ B_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$



For the next experiment, let us consider a 2-modes SLS of order $n=300,\,{\rm whose}$ matrices are given by

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & & \\ 0.1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & 0.1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0.5 & & \\ 1 & -2 & 0.5 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & 0.1 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ B_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set $A = A_1$ and $D = A_2 - A_1$. Solve

$$A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + D\mathcal{P}D^T + B_1B_1^T + B_2B_2^T = 0,$$

$$A^T\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + D^T\mathcal{Q}D + C_1^TC_1 + C_2^TC_2 = 0,$$



For the next experiment, let us consider a 2-modes SLS of order $n=300,\,{\rm whose}$ matrices are given by

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & & \\ 0.1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & 0.1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0.5 & & \\ 1 & -2 & 0.5 & \\ & 1 & -2 & 0.5 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 0.1 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ B_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set $A = A_1$ and $D = A_2 - A_1$. Solve

$$A\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}A^T + D\mathcal{P}D^T + B_1B_1^T + B_2B_2^T = 0,$$

$$A^T\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}A + D^T\mathcal{Q}D + C_1^TC_1 + C_2^TC_2 = 0,$$

and compute averaged Gramians [Monshizadeh 2012]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{avg}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\mathsf{avg}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2).$$





- Full order model n = 300. Reduced order models r = 20.
- Simulation with input $u(t) = 10 \cdot \sin(20t)e^{-t}$ and switching at $\{0.5, 2, 2.5, 4, 5, 5.5, 6\}$.



Contribution of this talk

- Proposed Gramians for SLS inspired by bilinear realization.
- Proof of Gramian-based reachability and observability characterization.
- Proposed a new balancing-type model reduction approach for SLS.



Contribution of this talk

- Proposed Gramians for SLS inspired by bilinear realization.
- Proof of Gramian-based reachability and observability characterization.
- Proposed a new balancing-type model reduction approach for SLS.

Open questions and future work

- Investigate general error bounds.
- Restricting switching and hybrid system model reduction.
- Extension to discrete-time SLS.
- Solution of large-scale Lyapunov-type equations.