

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR DYNAMICS OF COMPLEX TECHNICAL SYSTEMS MAGDEBURG



COMPUTATIONAL METHODS IN SYSTEMS AND CONTROL THEORY

A Low-rank Inexact Newton-Krylov Method for Stochastic Eigenvalue Problems

Peter Benner

and Akwum Onwunta (MPI DCTS Magdeburg, moving to U Maryland)

39th Northern German Colloquium on Applied Analysis and Numerical Mathematics TU Braunschweig, June 1–2, 2018



1. Introduction

- 2. Discretization via Stochastic Galerkin Method
- 3. The Newton-Krylov Approach
- 4. Low-rank Inexact Newton-Krylov Method
- 5. Numerical Experiments



1. Introduction

- 2. Discretization via Stochastic Galerkin Method
- 3. The Newton-Krylov Approach
- 4. Low-rank Inexact Newton-Krylov Method
- 5. Numerical Experiments



Consider the real symmetric stochastic eigenvalue problem (SEVP)

Let Ω be a sample space with possible outcomes ω .

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{A}(\omega)\varphi(\omega) &=& \lambda(\omega)\varphi(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega\\ \varphi(\omega)^{\mathsf{T}}\varphi(\omega) &=& 1, \end{array}$$

where $\lambda(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{X}}}, \quad \mathcal{A}(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{X}} \times} \end{array}$

 N_{x}



Consider the real symmetric stochastic eigenvalue problem (SEVP)

Let Ω be a sample space with possible outcomes $\omega.$

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{A}(\omega)arphi(\omega)&=&\lambda(\omega)arphi(\omega), &\omega\in\Omega\ arphi(\omega)^{ op}arphi(\omega)&=&1, \end{array}$$

where
$$\lambda(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x}, \quad \mathcal{A}(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x \times N_x}$$

Assumptions on $\mathcal{A}(\omega)$

- Real symmetric random matrix on probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.
- For example, stiffness matrix in structural mechanics problems.
- Inherits randomness, e.g., from uncertain elastic and dynamic parameters.



Parametrization of uncertainty by random variables

- Recall ω is a random event in $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.
- We set $\mathcal{A}(\omega) = \mathcal{A}(\xi(\omega))$, where $\xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$.
- supp $(\xi_i) = \Gamma_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, with measure $\rho_i(\xi_i) d\xi_i$.
- supp $(\xi) = \Gamma_1 \times \ldots \times \Gamma_m$, with measure $\rho(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^m \rho_i(\xi_i) d\xi_i$.



Parametrization of uncertainty by random variables

- Recall ω is a random event in $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.
- We set $\mathcal{A}(\omega) = \mathcal{A}(\xi(\omega))$, where $\xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$.
- supp $(\xi_i) = \Gamma_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, with measure $\rho_i(\xi_i) d\xi_i$.
- supp $(\xi) = \Gamma_1 \times \ldots \times \Gamma_m$, with measure $\rho(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^m \rho_i(\xi_i) d\xi_i$.

Random field representations

(Truncated) Karhunen-Lõeve expansion of $\mathcal{A}(\omega)$:

$$\mathcal{A}(\omega) pprox A_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m \xi_k(\omega) A_k, \ A_k \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x imes N_x}, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$



Parametrization of uncertainty by random variables

- Recall ω is a random event in $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.
- We set $\mathcal{A}(\omega) = \mathcal{A}(\xi(\omega))$, where $\xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$.
- supp $(\xi_i) = \Gamma_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, with measure $\rho_i(\xi_i) d\xi_i$.
- supp $(\xi) = \Gamma_1 \times \ldots \times \Gamma_m$, with measure $\rho(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^m \rho_i(\xi_i) d\xi_i$.

Random field representations

Expand ℓ th eigenpair ($\lambda_{\ell}(\omega), \varphi_{\ell}(\omega)$) using polynomial chaos expansion (PCE):

$$\lambda_{\ell}(\omega) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \lambda_{k}^{(\ell)} \psi_{k}(\xi(\omega)), \quad \lambda_{k}^{(\ell)} \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\varphi_{\ell}(\omega) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \varphi_{k}^{(\ell)} \psi_{k}(\xi(\omega)), \quad \varphi_{k}^{(\ell)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{x}}.$$



1. Introduction

- 2. Discretization via Stochastic Galerkin Method
- 3. The Newton-Krylov Approach
- 4. Low-rank Inexact Newton-Krylov Method
- 5. Numerical Experiments

Consider again the model SEVP

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\omega)\varphi(\omega) &= \lambda(\omega)\varphi(\omega), \quad (1) \\
\varphi(\omega)^{T}\varphi(\omega) &= 1. \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

Consider again the model SEVP

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\omega)\varphi(\omega) &= \lambda(\omega)\varphi(\omega), \quad (1) \\
\varphi(\omega)^{T}\varphi(\omega) &= 1. \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

Stochastic Galerkin Method with suitable orthogonal polynomials ψ_ℓ

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \mathbb{E}(\xi_{i}\psi_{j}\psi_{k})A_{i}\varphi_{j} = \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \mathbb{E}(\psi_{i}\psi_{j}\psi_{k})\lambda_{i}\varphi_{j}, \ k = 0, \dots, N_{\xi}-1,$$
$$\implies \underbrace{\left[G_{0} \otimes A_{0} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k} \otimes A_{k}\right]}_{:=A} \Phi = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \lambda_{k} \underbrace{(H_{k} \otimes I_{N_{x}})}_{:=B_{k}}\right] \Phi.$$
(3)

Matrices

CSC

Stochastic :
$$\begin{cases} G_0 = \text{diag}\left(\left\langle \psi_0^2 \right\rangle, \left\langle \psi_1^2 \right\rangle, \dots, \left\langle \psi_{N_{\xi}-1}^2 \right\rangle\right), \\ G_k(i,j) = \left\langle \psi_i \psi_j \xi_k \right\rangle, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ H_k(i,j) = \left\langle \psi_i \psi_j \psi_k \right\rangle, \quad k = 0, \dots, N_{\xi} - 1. \end{cases}$$

A₀ is symmetric, positive definite; captures mean info in the model.
A_k, k = 1,..., m, represent the fluctuations in the model

Matrices

CSC

Stochastic :
$$\begin{cases} G_0 = \operatorname{diag}\left(\left\langle \psi_0^2 \right\rangle, \left\langle \psi_1^2 \right\rangle, \dots, \left\langle \psi_{N_{\xi}-1}^2 \right\rangle\right), \\ G_k(i,j) = \left\langle \psi_i \psi_j \xi_k \right\rangle, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ H_k(i,j) = \left\langle \psi_i \psi_j \psi_k \right\rangle, \quad k = 0, \dots, N_{\xi} - 1. \end{cases}$$

A₀ is symmetric, positive definite; captures mean info in the model.
A_k, k = 1,..., m, represent the fluctuations in the model

Multiparametric eigenvalue problem!

For each eigenpair (Λ, Φ) :

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_{\xi}-1} \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi}},$$
$$\operatorname{vec}^{-1}(\Phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{N_{\xi}-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x \times N_{\xi}}.$$

Matrices

CSC

Stochastic :
$$\begin{cases} G_0 = \operatorname{diag}\left(\left\langle \psi_0^2 \right\rangle, \left\langle \psi_1^2 \right\rangle, \dots, \left\langle \psi_{N_{\xi}-1}^2 \right\rangle\right), \\ G_k(i,j) = \left\langle \psi_i \psi_j \xi_k \right\rangle, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ H_k(i,j) = \left\langle \psi_i \psi_j \psi_k \right\rangle, \quad k = 0, \dots, N_{\xi} - 1. \end{cases}$$

A₀ is symmetric, positive definite; captures mean info in the model.
A_k, k = 1,..., m, represent the fluctuations in the model

Multiparametric eigenvalue problem!

So, we want to compute the tuple:

$$\boldsymbol{x} := \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_{\xi}-1}, \varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{N_{\xi}-1}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_x+1)N_{\xi}}$$

Matrices

CSC

Stochastic :
$$\begin{cases} G_0 = \operatorname{diag}\left(\left\langle \psi_0^2 \right\rangle, \left\langle \psi_1^2 \right\rangle, \dots, \left\langle \psi_{N_{\xi}-1}^2 \right\rangle\right), \\ G_k(i,j) = \left\langle \psi_i \psi_j \xi_k \right\rangle, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ H_k(i,j) = \left\langle \psi_i \psi_j \psi_k \right\rangle, \quad k = 0, \dots, N_{\xi} - 1. \end{cases}$$

A₀ is symmetric, positive definite; captures mean info in the model.
A_k, k = 1,..., m, represent the fluctuations in the model

Multiparametric eigenvalue problem!

Since

$$N_{\xi} = (m + r)!/m!r!, m = \#$$
random variables and $r = \deg(\psi_i)$

the dimension of the eignproblem can become quite huge. (E.g., m = 10, $r = 4 \rightsquigarrow \sim 1000 \cdot N_x$ unknowns!)



• We can re-write (3) as

$$A\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \lambda_k B_k \Phi.$$

- $A := \sum_{k=0}^{m} G_k \otimes A_k$, $B_k := H_k \otimes I_{N_x}$ with $B_0 := I_{N_{\xi}} \otimes I_{N_x}$.
- $N_{\xi} = 1$ yields standard eigenproblem $A\Phi = \lambda_0 \Phi$.
- For $N_{\xi} = 2$, we obtain $(A \lambda_1 B_1)\Phi = \lambda_0 B_0 \Phi$:
 - \Rightarrow standard eigenproblem for fixed λ_1 .



• We can re-write (3) as

$$A\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \lambda_k B_k \Phi.$$

- $A := \sum_{k=0}^{m} G_k \otimes A_k$, $B_k := H_k \otimes I_{N_x}$ with $B_0 := I_{N_{\xi}} \otimes I_{N_x}$.
- $N_{\xi} = 1$ yields standard eigenproblem $A\Phi = \lambda_0 \Phi$.
- For $N_{\xi} = 2$, we obtain $(A \lambda_1 B_1)\Phi = \lambda_0 B_0 \Phi$:

 \Rightarrow continuum of real solutions $\lambda_0(\lambda_1)$ parameterized by λ_1 .



• We can re-write (3) as

$$A\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \lambda_k B_k \Phi.$$

- $A := \sum_{k=0}^{m} G_k \otimes A_k$, $B_k := H_k \otimes I_{N_x}$ with $B_0 := I_{N_{\xi}} \otimes I_{N_x}$.
- $N_{\xi} = 1$ yields standard eigenproblem $A\Phi = \lambda_0 \Phi$.
- For $N_{\xi} = 2$, we obtain $(A \lambda_1 B_1)\Phi = \lambda_0 B_0 \Phi$:

 $\Rightarrow 2N_x + 2 = 2(N_x + 1)$ unknowns in only $2N_x$ equations.



• We can re-write (3) as

$$A\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \lambda_k B_k \Phi.$$

- $A := \sum_{k=0}^{m} G_k \otimes A_k$, $B_k := H_k \otimes I_{N_x}$ with $B_0 := I_{N_{\xi}} \otimes I_{N_x}$.
- $N_{\xi} = 1$ yields standard eigenproblem $A\Phi = \lambda_0 \Phi$.
- For $N_{\xi} = 2$, we obtain $(A \lambda_1 B_1)\Phi = \lambda_0 B_0 \Phi$:

We append normalization condition (2) to (3) to avoid this.



• We can re-write (3) as

$$A\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \lambda_k B_k \Phi.$$

- $A := \sum_{k=0}^{m} G_k \otimes A_k$, $B_k := H_k \otimes I_{N_x}$ with $B_0 := I_{N_{\xi}} \otimes I_{N_x}$. • $N_{\xi} = 1$ yields standard eigenproblem $A\Phi = \lambda_0 \Phi$. • For $N_{\xi} = 2$, we obtain $(A - \lambda_1 B_1)\Phi = \lambda_0 B_0 \Phi$:
 - That is, $\Phi^T(H_k \otimes I_{N_x})\Phi = \delta_{k0}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N_{\xi} 1.$



• We can re-write (3) as

$$A\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \lambda_k B_k \Phi.$$

- $A := \sum_{k=0}^{m} G_k \otimes A_k$, $B_k := H_k \otimes I_{N_x}$ with $B_0 := I_{N_{\xi}} \otimes I_{N_x}$.
- $N_{\xi} = 1$ yields standard eigenproblem $A\Phi = \lambda_0 \Phi$.
- For $N_{\xi} = 2$, we obtain $(A \lambda_1 B_1)\Phi = \lambda_0 B_0 \Phi$:

Thus, SEVP (1) and (2) now posed as $N_x N_{\xi} + N_{\xi} = (N_x + 1)N_{\xi}$ non-linear deterministic equations.



• We can re-write (3) as

$$A\Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \lambda_k B_k \Phi.$$

- $A := \sum_{k=0}^{m} G_k \otimes A_k$, $B_k := H_k \otimes I_{N_x}$ with $B_0 := I_{N_{\xi}} \otimes I_{N_x}$.
- $N_{\xi} = 1$ yields standard eigenproblem $A\Phi = \lambda_0 \Phi$.
- For $N_{\xi} = 2$, we obtain $(A \lambda_1 B_1)\Phi = \lambda_0 B_0 \Phi$:

We use low rank solvers to approach the dimensionality problem.



1. Introduction

- 2. Discretization via Stochastic Galerkin Method
- 3. The Newton-Krylov Approach
- 4. Low-rank Inexact Newton-Krylov Method
- 5. Numerical Experiments



The Newton system for stochastic eigenvalue problem

• Set
$$F(x) = 0$$
, where $x := (\Lambda, \Phi) \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_x+1)N_{\xi}}$ and

$$F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \left[(G_k \otimes A_k) - \lambda_k (H_k \otimes I_{N_x}) \right] \Phi \\ \Phi^T (H_0 \otimes I_{N_x}) \Phi - 1 \\ \Phi^T (H_1 \otimes I_{N_x}) \Phi \\ \vdots \\ \Phi^T (H_{N_{\xi}-1} \otimes I_{N_x}) \Phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(4)

CSC The Newton-Krylov Approach

The Newton system for stochastic eigenvalue problem cont'd

• Given x_0 , we obtain iterations via the Newton equations:

$$F(x_k) + F'(x_k)s_k = 0.$$
(5)

- Newton step: $s_k = \delta x_k = x_{k+1} x_k$.
- When Newton step is obtained, next iterate is given by $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$.
- Set $(v, \lambda) := (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{N_{\xi}-1}, \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_{\xi}-1}) \approx (\Phi, \Lambda),$

$$\begin{bmatrix} v^+\\ \lambda^+ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v\\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} - \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} T(\lambda) & T'(\lambda)v\\ Q'(v) & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}}_{\mathcal{J}:=F'} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} T(\lambda)v\\ Q(v) \end{bmatrix}}_{F}.$$



Ingredients of the Newton equations

$$T(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \left[(G_k \otimes A_k) - \lambda_k (H_k \otimes I_{N_x}) \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x N_{\xi} \times N_x N_{\xi}},$$

$$T(\lambda)v = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \left[(G_k \otimes A_k) - \lambda_k (H_k \otimes I_{N_x}) \right] v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x N_{\xi}},$$

$$T'(\lambda)v = -\sum_{k=0}^{N_{\xi}-1}(H_k\otimes v_k)\in \mathbb{R}^{N_xN_{\xi} imes N_{\xi}},$$

$$Q(v) = \mathbf{d} := \left[v^{T} (H_0 \otimes I_{N_x}) v - 1, \cdots, v^{T} (H_{N_{\xi}-1} \otimes I_{N_x}) v \right]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi}}.$$



Algorithm 1 Inexact Newton Method (INM)

- 1: Given $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_x+1)N_{\xi}}$
- 2: for k = 0, 1, ... (until $\{x_k\}$ convergence) do
- 3: Choose some $\eta_k \in [0, 1)$.
- 4: Solve the Newton equations (5) approximately to obtain a step s_k such that

5:
$$||F(x_k) + F'(x_k)s_k|| \le \eta_k ||F(x_k)||$$

6: Set
$$x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$$
.

7: end for

- Solving the Newton equation F(xk) + F'(xk)sk = 0 is expensive due to large-scale setting.
- It can be solved approximately with Krylov solvers.
- This leads to an inexact Newton-Krylov method.
- Since the Jacobian F' is not symmetric, we use BiCGStab.
- However, the choice of forcing terms η_k is very important:

 $\eta_1 := \eta_k = \min\{1/(k+2), ||F(x_k)||\}.$

- Solving the Newton equation F(xk) + F'(xk)sk = 0 is expensive due to large-scale setting.
- It can be solved approximately with Krylov solvers.
- This leads to an inexact Newton-Krylov method.
- Since the Jacobian F' is not symmetric, we use BiCGStab.
- However, the choice of forcing terms η_k is very important:

$$\eta_2 := \eta_k = 10^{-4}.$$

- Solving the Newton equation F(xk) + F'(xk)sk = 0 is expensive due to large-scale setting.
- It can be solved approximately with Krylov solvers.
- This leads to an inexact Newton-Krylov method.
- Since the Jacobian F' is not symmetric, we use BiCGStab.
- However, the choice of forcing terms η_k is very important:

$$\eta_{3} := \eta_{k} = \begin{cases} \zeta_{k}, & \eta_{k-1}^{(1+\sqrt{5})/2} \leq 0.1, \\ \max\left\{\zeta_{k}, \eta_{k-1}^{(1+\sqrt{5})/2}\right\}, & \eta_{k-1}^{(1+\sqrt{5})/2} > 0.1, \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta_{k} = \frac{||F(x_{k}) - F(x_{k-1}) - F'(x_{k-1})s_{k-1}||}{||F(x_{k-1})||}, \ k = 1, 2 \dots$

- Solving the Newton equation F(xk) + F'(xk)sk = 0 is expensive due to large-scale setting.
- It can be solved approximately with Krylov solvers.
- This leads to an inexact Newton-Krylov method.
- Since the Jacobian F' is not symmetric, we use BiCGStab.
- However, the choice of forcing terms η_k is very important:

$$\eta_{3} := \eta_{k} = \begin{cases} \zeta_{k}, & \eta_{k-1}^{(1+\sqrt{5})/2} \leq 0.1, \\ \max\left\{\zeta_{k}, \eta_{k-1}^{(1+\sqrt{5})/2}\right\}, & \eta_{k-1}^{(1+\sqrt{5})/2} > 0.1, \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta_{k} = \frac{||F(x_{k}) - F(x_{k-1}) - F'(x_{k-1})s_{k-1}||}{||F(x_{k-1})||}, \ k = 1, 2 \dots$

• We use low rank solvers to approach the dimensionality problem.



Inexact Newton method with backtracking

- Globalization is required to enhance the robustness of INM.
- It means augmenting Newton's method with auxiliary procedures that increase the likelihood of convergence.
- It adjusts step lengths (usually shortened) to obtain satisfactory steps.
- It can be backtracking or trust-region.
- In Algorithm 2, backtracking globalization resides in the while-loop

 $||F(x_k + s_k)|| \le [1 - t(1 - \eta_k)]||F(x_k)||.$

Algorithm 2 Inexact Newton Backtracking Method (INBM)

Require: $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_x+1)N_{\xi}}, \ \eta_{\max} \in [0,1), \ t \in (0,1), \ \text{and} \ 0 < \theta_{\min} < \theta_{\max} < 1.$

- 1: for k = 0, 1, ... (until $\{x_k\}$ converges) do
- 2: Choose initial $\eta_k \in [0, \eta_{max})$ and solve (5) approximately to obtain s_k such that $||F(x_k) + F'(x_k)s_k|| \le \eta_k ||F(x_k)||$.
- 3: while $||F(x_k + s_k)|| > [1 t(1 \eta_k)]||F(x_k)||$ do
- 4: Choose $\theta \in [\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}]$.
- 5: Update $s_k \leftarrow \theta s_k$ and $\eta_k \leftarrow 1 \theta(1 \eta_k)$.
- 6: end while

CSC

7: Set
$$x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$$
.

8: end for



Inexact Newton method with backtracking

- Globalization is required to enhance the robustness of INM.
- It means augmenting Newton's method with auxiliary procedures that increase the likelihood of convergence.
- It adjusts step lengths (usually shortened) to obtain satisfactory steps.
- It can be backtracking or trust-region.
- In Algorithm 2, backtracking globalization resides in the while-loop

 $||F(x_k + s_k)|| \le [1 - t(1 - \eta_k)]||F(x_k)||.$

We combine the backtracking with low-rank techniques to tackle the high-dimensional SEVP.



1. Introduction

- 2. Discretization via Stochastic Galerkin Method
- 3. The Newton-Krylov Approach
- 4. Low-rank Inexact Newton-Krylov Method
- 5. Numerical Experiments

CSC

We solve the Newton equations with low-rank BiCGStab.

Since $\operatorname{vec}(WXV) = (V^T \otimes W)\operatorname{vec}(X)$, we have $\mathcal{JX} = \mathcal{R}$, where

$$\mathcal{J} := F' = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \left[(G_i \otimes A_i) - \lambda_i (H_i \otimes I_{N_x}) \right] & -\sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} H_i \otimes v_i \\ 2 \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} H_i \otimes v_i^T & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{X} := s = \begin{bmatrix} \delta v_i \\ \delta \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{vec}(Y) \\ \operatorname{vec}(Z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{R} := -F = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{vec}(R_1) \\ \operatorname{vec}(R_2) \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$R_1 = \operatorname{vec}^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \left[(G_i \otimes A_i) - \lambda_i (H_i \otimes I_{N_x}) \right] v \right), \quad R_2 = \operatorname{vec}^{-1}(\mathbf{d}).$$



Rewriting the linear system as matrix equation

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{JX} = \operatorname{vec} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \left[\begin{array}{cc} \left(A_{i} Y G_{i}^{T} - \lambda_{i} I_{N_{x}} Y H_{i}^{T}\right) & -v_{i} Z H_{i}^{T} \\ 2 v_{i}^{T} Y H_{i}^{T} & \end{array} \right] \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{vec} \left(\left[\begin{array}{c} R_{1} \\ R_{2} \end{array} \right] \right).$$



Rewriting the linear system as matrix equation

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{JX} = \operatorname{vec} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \left[\begin{array}{cc} (A_{i} Y G_{i}^{T} - \lambda_{i} I_{N_{x}} Y H_{i}^{T}) & -v_{i} Z H_{i}^{T} \\ 2 v_{i}^{T} Y H_{i}^{T} & \end{array} \right] \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{vec} \left(\left[\begin{array}{c} R_{1} \\ R_{2} \end{array} \right] \right).$$

Low-rank format for solution

$$\begin{cases} Y = W_Y V_Y^T, \text{ with } W_Y \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_x+1) \times r_1}, V_Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi} \times r_1}, \\ Z = W_Z V_Z^T, \text{ with } W_Z \in \mathbb{R}^{(N_x+1) \times r_2}, V_Z \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi} \times r_2}, \end{cases}$$

where $r_{1,2} \ll N_{\xi}, N_{x}$.



Rewriting the linear system as matrix equation

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{JX} = \operatorname{vec} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N_{\xi}-1} \left[\begin{array}{cc} (A_{i} Y G_{i}^{T} - \lambda_{i} I_{N_{x}} Y H_{i}^{T}) & -v_{i} Z H_{i}^{T} \\ 2 v_{i}^{T} Y H_{i}^{T} & \end{array} \right] \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{vec} \left(\left[\begin{array}{c} R_{1} \\ R_{2} \end{array} \right] \right).$$

Left hand side of linear system in low-rank format

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} (\text{first row}) \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\mathcal{E}}-1} \left[(A_i W_Y - I_{N_x} W_Y) - v_i W_Z \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} V_Y^{\mathsf{T}} G_i & -\lambda_i V_Y^{\mathsf{T}} H_i \\ V_Z^{\mathsf{T}} H_i \end{array} \right], \\ (\text{second row}) \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\mathcal{E}}-1} \left[2v_i W_Y \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} V_Y^{\mathsf{T}} H_i \end{array} \right]. \end{array} \right.$$



The low-rank format

The low-rank nature of the factors

- guarantees fewer multiplications with the submatrices;
- maintains smaller storage requirements;
- can be obtained via MATLAB[®] functions svd or svds.

The iterates of Y and Z in low-rank BiCGStab are truncated using a prescribed tolerance.



Low-rank truncation

Suppose
$$X = WV^T \approx \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(X) = \tilde{W}\tilde{V}^T$$
 s.t.

$$||X - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(X)|| \leq \varepsilon ||X||_{F},$$

- skinny QR factorization: $X = Q_w R_w R_v^T Q_v^T$.
- SVD: $R_w R_v^T = B \Sigma C^T$.
- new factorization: $\tilde{W} = Q_w B(:, 1:r)$ and $\tilde{V} = Q_v C(:, 1:r) \Sigma(1:r, 1:r)$.
- singular values: $\sqrt{s_{r'+1}^2 + \ldots + s_r^2} \le \varepsilon \sqrt{s_1^2 + \ldots + s_{r'}^2}, r' \le r.$



Low-rank truncation

Suppose $X = WV^T \approx \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(X) = \tilde{W}\tilde{V}^T$ s.t.

 $||X - \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(X)|| \leq \varepsilon ||X||_{F},$

or: use truncated SVD of $WV^T \approx B\Sigma C^T$ computed via svds without ever forming the matrix.



Matrix inner products

• Suppose

$$\begin{split} Y &= W_Y V_Y^T, \quad W_Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x \times r_Y}, \ V_Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi} \times r_Y}, \\ Z &= W_Z V_Z^T, \quad W_Z \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x \times r_Z}, \ V_Z \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi} \times r_Z}. \end{split}$$



Matrix inner products

Suppose

$$\begin{split} Y &= W_Y V_Y^T, \quad W_Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x \times r_Y}, \ V_Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi} \times r_Y}, \\ Z &= W_Z V_Z^T, \quad W_Z \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x \times r_Z}, \ V_Z \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi} \times r_Z}. \end{split}$$

• Then,





Matrix inner products

• Suppose

$$\begin{split} Y &= W_Y V_Y^T, \quad W_Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x \times r_Y}, \ V_Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi} \times r_Y}, \\ Z &= W_Z V_Z^T, \quad W_Z \in \mathbb{R}^{N_x \times r_Z}, \ V_Z \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\xi} \times r_Z}. \end{split}$$

• Then,

$$\operatorname{trace}(\underbrace{(W_{Y}V_{Y}^{T})}_{\operatorname{Large}}^{T},\underbrace{(W_{Z}V_{Z}^{T})}_{\operatorname{Large}}) = \operatorname{trace}(\underbrace{V_{Z}^{T}V_{Y}}_{\operatorname{Small}},\underbrace{W_{Y}^{T}W_{Z}}_{\operatorname{Small}})$$

Hence, $\langle Y, Z \rangle = \operatorname{vec}(Y)^{T}\operatorname{vec}(Z) = \operatorname{trace}(Y^{T}Z)$
 $\Rightarrow 2(N_{x} + N_{\xi} + 1)r_{Y}r_{Z}$ flops.



Mean-based Schur complement preconditioner

We precondition the Jacobian ${\mathcal J}$ with

$$\mathcal{P} := \left[egin{array}{cc} T(\Lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{array}
ight], \quad S = Q'(v) \left(T(\Lambda)
ight)^{-1} T'(\Lambda) v$$

where we use the following approximations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{T}(\Lambda) &\approx & I_{N_{\xi}} \otimes (\mathcal{A}_{0} - \lambda_{0} I_{N_{x}}), \\ \mathcal{S} &\approx & 2 I_{N_{\xi}} \otimes \left[v_{0}^{T} (\mathcal{A}_{0} - \lambda_{0} I_{N_{x}})^{-1} v_{0} \right]. \end{array}$$



1. Introduction

- 2. Discretization via Stochastic Galerkin Method
- 3. The Newton-Krylov Approach
- 4. Low-rank Inexact Newton-Krylov Method
- 5. Numerical Experiments



Consider the SEVP

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{a}(\cdot, \omega) \nabla \varphi(\cdot, \omega)) = \lambda(\omega) \varphi(\cdot, \omega), & \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times \Omega, \\ \varphi(\cdot, \omega) = \mathbf{0}, & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{D} \times \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where

 a: D × Ω → ℝ is a random coefficient field, characterized by 𝔅(a) = 1 and

$$Cov(x,y) = \sigma^2 \exp\left(-\frac{|x_1-y_1|}{\ell_1} - \frac{|x_2-y_2|}{\ell_2}\right), \ \forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{D}.$$

KLE: ξ = {ξ₁,...,ξ_m} with ξ_j ~ U(-1,1), and ℓ₁ = ℓ₂ = 1.
PCE: *m*-dim. Legendre polynomials with support in (-1,1)^m.

(5)



Numerical Experiments

Small-scale problem, $\lambda_2(\omega)$

Performance of the INBM solver for m = 6, r = 4, $N_x = 49$ (\rightsquigarrow ($N_{\xi} + 1$) $N_x = 10,500$) and standard deviation $\sigma_a = 0.01$. Here, I, II, and III represent the different forcing parameter choices η_1, η_2 , and η_3 .

η_k	# NS	Ø BS	# KS	t[sec]	rank	mem(LR)	mem(FM)
1	22	1.5	22	16.5	9	18.7	82.03
11	22	1.5	22	17.5	10	20.8	82.03
	22	1.5	23	17.2	10	20.8	82.03

Legend:

NS — Newton steps, BS — backtracking steps, KS — Krylov steps,

rank — tensor rank of solution,

mem(LR) — memory low-rank solver in kb,

mem(FM) — memory full-rank solver in kb.



Small-scale problem, $\lambda_2(\omega)$

Convergence of low-rank INBM



Sc Numerical Experiments

Small-scale problem, $\mathbb{E}(\lambda_2(\omega)) = 1.412 \approx \lambda_2(A_0) = 1.4166$

Probability density function (pdf) for $\sigma_a = 0.1$





Large-scale problem, $\lambda_2(\omega)$

Performance of the INBM solver for m = 6, r = 4, $N_x = 392,704$ (\rightsquigarrow ($N_{\xi} + 1$) $N_x = 82,468,050$) and standard deviation $\sigma_a = 0.1$. Here, I, II, and III represent the different forcing term choices η_1, η_2 , and η_3 .

η_k	# NS	Ø BS	# KS	t[sec]	rank	mem(LR)	mem(FM)
1	34	3.6	39	12,123	51	156,552	OoM (644,282)
11	32	3.5	43	12,113	51	156,552	OoM (644,282)
	33	3.5	42	12,200	51	156,552	OoM (644,282)

Legend:

NS - Newton steps, BS - backtracking steps, KS - Krylov steps,

rank — tensor rank of solution,

mem(LR) — memory low-rank solver in kb,

mem(FM) — memory full-rank solver in kb.



- We have presented a low-rank Newton-type algorithm for approximating selected eigenpairs of SEVPs.
- The low-rank approach provides significant storage savings.
- For details, see:

Peter Benner, Akwum Onwunta, and Martin Stoll: An Inexact Newton-Krylov Method for Stochastic Eigenvalue Problems. Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics (CMAM), to appear.

Preliminary version: arXiv:1710.09470, October 2017.



- We have presented a low-rank Newton-type algorithm for approximating selected eigenpairs of SEVPs.
- The low-rank approach provides significant storage savings.
- For details, see:

Peter Benner, Akwum Onwunta, and Martin Stoll: An Inexact Newton-Krylov Method for Stochastic Eigenvalue Problems. Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics (CMAM), to appear.

Preliminary version: arXiv:1710.09470, October 2017.

• Next steps:

- Block-variants to approximate several eigenpairs at once.
- Non-symmetric stochastic eigenvalue problems.

This is the end.