SIAM LA 12 Valencia, June 18–22, 2012

KRYLOV SUBSPACE RECYCLING FOR FASTER MODEL REDUCTION ALGORITHMS

Peter Benner

Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems Computational Methods in Systems and Control Theory Magdeburg, Germany

Joint work with

Lihong Feng (MPI DCTS) and Jan Korvink (IMTEK/FRIAS, Freiburg)



Krylov Subspace Recycling

Problem

Solve sequence of linear systems

$$A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k_{\max},$$

where $A^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ nonsingular, $x^{(i)}, b^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for all *i*, by Krylov subspace methods.

Question: can we re-use information from solving $A^{(i-1)}x^{(i-1)} = b^{(i-1)}$ when solving $A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b^{(i)}$?



Krylov Subspace Recycling

Problem

Solve sequence of linear systems

$$A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k_{\max},$$

where $A^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ nonsingular, $x^{(i)}, b^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for all *i*, by Krylov subspace methods.

Question: can we re-use information from solving $A^{(i-1)}x^{(i-1)} = b^{(i-1)}$ when solving $A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b^{(i)}$?

Krylov subspace recycling

Store and use (part of)

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}^{(i-1)}, r_0^{(i-1)}, \ell) := \mathsf{span}\{r_0^{(i-1)}, \mathcal{A}^{(i-1)}r_0^{(i-1)}, \dots, (\mathcal{A}^{(i-1)})^{\ell-1}r_0^{(i-1)}\},$$

to accelerate convergence when solving $A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b^{(i)}$ (Typically, use harmonic Ritz vectors constructed as by-product.)



Krylov Subspace Recycling

Problem

Solve sequence of linear systems

$$A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k_{\max}$$

where $A^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ nonsingular, $x^{(i)}, b^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for all *i*, by Krylov subspace methods.

Special cases

- Fixed right-hand side $A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b$ (e.g., frequency response analysis).
 - $A^{(i)} = A + \sigma_k I_n \quad \rightsquigarrow$ use shift invariance of Krylov subspace $(\mathcal{K}(A + \sigma I, r, \ell) \equiv \mathcal{K}(A, r, \ell))$ e.g., [FREUND '90, DATTA/SAAD '91]. • $A^{(i)} = A + bf^{(i)} \rightarrow \text{use feedback invariance of Krylov subspace}$ $(\mathcal{K}(A + bf^{(i)}, b, \ell) \equiv \mathcal{K}(A, b, \ell))$ e.g., [B./BECKERMANN '11].
- Fixed coefficient matrix $Ax^{(i)} = b^{(i)}$ (e.g., multiple right-hand sides, stationary iterative methods with $b^{(i)} = b^{(i)}(x^{(i-1)})$.

Introduction 000000	Interpolatory Model Reduction	Recycling for PMOR	Numerical Examples 00	Conclusions and Outlook	References
Over	view				



- Model Reduction
- Motivation
- Basics
- Interpolatory Model Reduction
 - Short Introduction
 - PMOR based on Multi-Moment Matching
 - PMOR based on Rational Interpolation
- 3 Recycling for PMOR
- A Numerical Examples
 - Butterfly microgyroscope
 - Microhotplate gas sensor
- 5 Conclusions and Outlook



Model Reduction

Dynamical Systems

$$\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} E(p)\dot{x}(t;p) &= f(t,x(t;p),u(t),p), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \\ y(t;p) &= g(t,x(t;p),u(t),p) \end{cases}$$
(a)

with

- (generalized) states $x(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(E(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$,
- inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
- outputs $y(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^q$, (b) is called output equation,
- $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a parameter vector.

E singular \Rightarrow (a) is system of differential-algebraic equations (DAEs) otherwise \Rightarrow (a) is system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs)



Model Reduction for Dynamical Systems



Original System

$$\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} E(p)\dot{x} = f(t, x, u, p), \\ y = g(t, x, u, p). \end{cases}$$

- states $x(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
- inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
- outputs $y(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^q$,
- parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Goal:

 $\|y-\hat{y}\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\|$ for all admissible input signals and relevant parameter settings.

Reduced-Order System

$$\widehat{\Sigma}(p): \begin{cases} \widehat{E}(p)\dot{\hat{x}} = \widehat{f}(t,\hat{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{p}), \\ \hat{y} = \widehat{g}(t, \hat{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{p}). \end{cases}$$

- states $\hat{x}(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $r \ll n$
- inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
- outputs $\hat{y}(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$,
- parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.





• parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

• parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

u→ Σ y→

Goal:

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\|$ for all admissible input signals and relevant parameter settings.

Ŷ

Numerical Exam

cal Examples

References



Motivation

Applications in Microsystems/MEMS Design

Microgyroscope (butterfly gyro)



- Voltage applied to electrodes induces vibration of wings, resulting rotation due to Coriolis force yields sensor data.
- FE model of second order:
 N = 17.361 → n = 34.722, m = 1, p = 12.
- Sensor for position control based on acceleration and rotation.

• Application: inertial navigation.



Source: The Oberwolfach Benchmark Collection http://www.imtek.de/simulation/benchmark

Numerical Exam

ical Examples

lusions and Outlook

References



Motivation

Applications in Microsystems/MEMS Design

Microgyroscope (butterfly gyro)

Parametric FE model: $M(w)\ddot{x}(t) + D(\theta, \alpha, \beta)\dot{x}(t) + T(w)x(t) = Bu(t)$.



[Feng/B./Korvink '10]

Supported by DFG Projekt BE2174/7-1 Automatic, Parameter-Preserving Model Reduction for Applications in Microsystems Technology with IMTEK, Freiburg.



Motivation

Applications in Microsystems/MEMS Design

Microgyroscope (butterfly gyro)

Parametric FF model:

$$M(w)\ddot{x}(t) + D(\theta, \alpha, \beta)\dot{x}(t) + T(w)x(t) = Bu(t),$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{M}(w) &=& \mathcal{M}_1 + w \mathcal{M}_2, \\ \mathcal{D}(\theta, \alpha, \beta) &=& \theta(\mathcal{D}_1 + w \mathcal{D}_2) + \alpha \mathcal{M}(w) + \beta \mathcal{T}(w), \\ \mathcal{T}(w) &=& \mathcal{K}_1 + \frac{1}{w} \mathcal{K}_2 + d \mathcal{K}_3, \end{array}$$

with

- width of bearing: w,
- angular velocity: θ ,
- Rayleigh damping parameters: α, β , ۲

[FENG/B./KORVINK '10]



Numerical Exam

rical Examples

Conclusions and O

Reference



Motivation

Applications in Microsystems/MEMS Design

Microgyroscope (butterfly gyro)

Original...

and reduced-order model.



Supported by DFG Projekt BE2174/7-1 Automatic, Parameter-Preserving Model Reduction for Applications in Microsystems Technology with IMTEK, Freiburg.



Linear Parametric Systems

Linear, time-invariant systems depending on parameters

 $\begin{array}{rcl} E(p)\dot{x}(t;p) &=& A(p)x(t;p)+B(p)u(t), & A(p), E(p)\in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}, \\ y(t;p) &=& C(p)x(t;p), & B(p)\in \mathbb{R}^{n\times m}, C(p)\in \mathbb{R}^{q\times n}. \end{array}$

Laplace Transformation / Frequency Domain

Application of Laplace transformation $(x(t; p) \mapsto x(s; p), \dot{x}(t; p) \mapsto sx(s; p))$ to linear system with x(0) = 0:

 $sE(p)x(s;p) = A(p)x(s;p) + B(p)u(s), \quad y(s;p) = C(p)x(s;p),$

yields I/O-relation in frequency domain:

$$y(s;p) = \left(\underbrace{C(p)(sE(p) - A(p))^{-1}B(p)}_{u(s)}\right)u(s)$$

G(s; p) is the parameter-dependent transfer function of $\Sigma(p)$



Linear Parametric Systems



Linear, time-invariant systems depending on parameters

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E(p)\dot{x}(t;p) &=& A(p)x(t;p)+B(p)u(t), & A(p), E(p)\in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n},\\ y(t;p) &=& C(p)x(t;p), & B(p)\in \mathbb{R}^{n\times m}, C(p)\in \mathbb{R}^{q\times n}. \end{array}$$

Laplace Transformation / Frequency Domain

Application of Laplace transformation $(x(t; p) \mapsto x(s; p), \dot{x}(t; p) \mapsto sx(s; p))$ to linear system with x(0) = 0:

$$sE(p)x(s;p) = A(p)x(s;p) + B(p)u(s), \quad y(s;p) = C(p)x(s;p),$$

yields I/O-relation in frequency domain:

$$y(s;p) = \left(\underbrace{C(p)(sE(p) - A(p))^{-1}B(p)}_{P(p)}\right)u(s)$$

=:G(s;p)G(s; p) is the parameter-dependent transfer function of $\Sigma(p)$.

Problem

Approximate the dynamical system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E(p)\dot{x} &=& A(p)x + B(p)u, \qquad A(p), E(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \\ y &=& C(p)x, \qquad \qquad B(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, C(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}, \end{array}$$

by reduced-order system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{E}(p)\dot{\hat{x}} &=& \hat{A}(p)\hat{x}+\hat{B}(p)u, & \hat{A}(p), \hat{E}(p)\in \mathbb{R}^{r\times r}, \\ \hat{y} &=& \hat{C}(p)\hat{x}, & \hat{B}(p)\in \mathbb{R}^{r\times m}, \hat{C}(p)\in \mathbb{R}^{q\times r}, \end{array}$$

of order $r \ll n$, such that for any feasible p,

$$\|y - \hat{y}\| = \|Gu - \hat{G}u\| \le \|G - \hat{G}\|\|u\| < ext{tolerance} \cdot \|u\|.$$

 \implies Approximation problem: min_{order (\hat{G})<r $||G - \hat{G}||$.}

Problem

Approximate the dynamical system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E(p)\dot{x} &=& A(p)x + B(p)u, \qquad A(p), E(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \\ y &=& C(p)x, \qquad \qquad B(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, C(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}, \end{array}$$

by reduced-order system

$$egin{array}{rll} \hat{E}(p)\dot{\hat{x}}&=&\hat{A}(p)\hat{x}+\hat{B}(p)u,&\hat{A}(p),\hat{E}(p)\in\mathbb{R}^{r imes r},\ \hat{y}&=&\hat{C}(p)\hat{x},&\hat{B}(p)\in\mathbb{R}^{r imes m},\hat{C}(p)\in\mathbb{R}^{q imes r}, \end{array}$$

of order $r \ll n$, such that for any feasible p,

$$\|y - \hat{y}\| = \|Gu - \hat{G}u\| \le \|G - \hat{G}\|\|u\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\|.$$

 \implies Approximation problem: $\min_{\text{order}(\hat{G}) \leq r} \|G - \hat{G}\|$.



Parametric System

$$\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} E(p)\dot{x}(t;p) = A(p)x(t;p) + B(p)u(t), \\ y(t;p) = C(p)x(t;p). \end{cases}$$



Parametric System

$$\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} E(p)\dot{x}(t;p) = A(p)x(t;p) + B(p)u(t), \\ y(t;p) = C(p)x(t;p). \end{cases}$$

Appropriate representation:

$$\begin{split} E(p) &= E_0 + e_1(p)E_1 + \ldots + e_{q_E}(p)E_{q_E}, \\ A(p) &= A_0 + a_1(p)A_1 + \ldots + a_{q_A}(p)A_{q_A}, \\ B(p) &= B_0 + b_1(p)B_1 + \ldots + b_{q_B}(p)B_{q_B}, \\ C(p) &= C_0 + c_1(p)C_1 + \ldots + c_{q_C}(p)C_{q_C}, \end{split}$$

allows easy parameter preservation for projection based model reduction.



Parametric System

$$\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} E(p)\dot{x}(t;p) = A(p)x(t;p) + B(p)u(t), \\ y(t;p) = C(p)x(t;p). \end{cases}$$

Applications:

- Repeated simulation for varying material or geometry parameters, boundary conditions,
- Optimization and design.



Parametric System

$$\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} E(p)\dot{x}(t;p) = A(p)x(t;p) + B(p)u(t), \\ y(t;p) = C(p)x(t;p). \end{cases}$$

Applications:

- Repeated simulation for varying material or geometry parameters, boundary conditions,
- Optimization and design.

Additional model reduction goal:

preserve parameters as symbolic quantities in reduced-order model:

$$\widehat{\Sigma}(p): \begin{cases} \widehat{E}(p)\dot{\hat{x}}(t;p) = \hat{A}(p)\hat{x}(t;p) + \hat{B}(p)u(t), \\ \hat{y}(t;p) = \hat{C}(p)\hat{x}(t;p) \end{cases}$$

with states $\hat{x}(t; p) \in \mathbb{R}^r$.

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Short Introduction



Computation of reduced-order model by projection

Given a linear (descriptor) system $E\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, y = Cx with transfer function $G(s) = C(sE - A)^{-1}B$, a reduced-order model is obtained using truncation matrices $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ with $W^T V = I_r$ $(\rightsquigarrow (VW^T)^2 = VW^T$ is projector) by computing

$$\hat{E} = W^T E V, \ \hat{A} = W^T A V, \ \hat{B} = W^T B, \ \hat{C} = C V.$$

Petrov-Galerkin-type (two-sided) projection: $W \neq V$,

Galerkin-type (one-sided) projection: W = V.

Numerical Examp

les Conclusions

ok Refei

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Short Introduction



Computation of reduced-order model by projection

Given a linear (descriptor) system $E\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, y = Cx with transfer function $G(s) = C(sE - A)^{-1}B$, a reduced-order model is obtained using truncation matrices $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ with $W^T V = I_r$ $(\rightsquigarrow (VW^T)^2 = VW^T$ is projector) by computing

$$\hat{E} = W^T E V, \ \hat{A} = W^T A V, \ \hat{B} = W^T B, \ \hat{C} = C V.$$

Petrov-Galerkin-type (two-sided) projection: $W \neq V$,

Galerkin-type (one-sided) projection: W = V.

Rational Interpolation/Moment-Matching

Choose V, W such that

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, k,$$

and

$$rac{d^i}{ds^i}G(s_j)=rac{d^i}{ds^i}\hat{G}(s_j),\quad i=1,\ldots,K_j,\quad j=1,\ldots,k.$$



Theorem (simplified) [GRIMME '97, VILLEMAGNE/SKELTON '87]

lf

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{ (s_1 E - A)^{-1} B, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-1} B \right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(V), \\ \operatorname{span}\left\{ (s_1 E - A)^{-T} C^T, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-T} C^T \right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(W),$$

then

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad \frac{d}{ds}G(s_j) = \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$



Theorem (simplified) [GRIMME '97, VILLEMAGNE/SKELTON '87]

lf

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{ (s_1 E - A)^{-1} B, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-1} B \right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(V), \\ \operatorname{span}\left\{ (s_1 E - A)^{-T} C^T, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-T} C^T \right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(W),$$

then

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad \frac{d}{ds}G(s_j) = \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Remarks:

computation of V, W from rational Krylov subspaces, e.g.,

- dual rational Arnoldi/Lanczos [GRIMME '97],
- Iterative Rational Krylov-Algo. [ANTOULAS/BEATTIE/GUGERCIN '07].



Theorem (simplified) [GRIMME '97, VILLEMAGNE/SKELTON '87]

lf

$$\operatorname{span} \left\{ (s_1 E - A)^{-1} B, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-1} B \right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(V), \\ \operatorname{span} \left\{ (s_1 E - A)^{-T} C^T, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-T} C^T \right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(W),$$

then

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad \frac{d}{ds}G(s_j) = \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Remarks:

using Galerkin/one-sided projection yields $G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j)$, but in general

$$\frac{d}{ds}G(s_j)\neq \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j).$$



Theorem (simplified) [GRIMME '97, VILLEMAGNE/SKELTON '87]

lf

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{ (s_1 E - A)^{-1} B, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-1} B \right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(V), \\ \operatorname{span}\left\{ (s_1 E - A)^{-T} C^T, \dots, (s_k E - A)^{-T} C^T \right\} \subset \operatorname{Ran}(W),$$

then

$$G(s_j) = \hat{G}(s_j), \quad \frac{d}{ds}G(s_j) = \frac{d}{ds}\hat{G}(s_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Remarks:

k = 1, standard Krylov subspace(s) of dimension $K \rightsquigarrow$ moment-matching methods/Padé approximation,

$$\frac{d^i}{ds^i}G(s_1)=\frac{d^i}{ds^i}\hat{G}(s_1), \quad i=0,\ldots, K-1(+K).$$

rtroduction Interpolatory Model Reduction Recycling for PMOR Numerical Examples Conclusions and Outlook References 000000 0●0000 00 00



Interpolatory Model Reduction

Notation

Parametric Systems

$$\Sigma(p): \begin{cases} E(p)\dot{x}(t;p) = A(p)x(t;p) + B(p)u(t)), \\ y(t;p) = C(p)x(t;p). \end{cases}$$

Assume

$$E(p) = E_0 + e_1(p)E_1 + \ldots + e_{q_E}(p)E_{q_E},$$

$$A(p) = A_0 + a_1(p)A_1 + \ldots + a_{q_A}(p)A_{q_A},$$

$$B(p) = B_0 + b_1(p)B_1 + \ldots + b_{q_B}(p)B_{q_B},$$

$$C(p) = C_0 + c_1(p)C_1 + \ldots + c_{q_C}(p)C_{q_C}.$$

Introduction Interpolatory Model Reduction Recycling for PMOR Numerical Examples Conclusions and Outlook



Interpolatory Model Reduction

Structure-Preservation

Petrov-Galerkin-type projection

For given projection matrices $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ with $W^T V = I_r$ ($\rightsquigarrow (VW^T)^2 = VW^T$ is projector), compute

$$\hat{E}(p) = W^{\mathsf{T}} E_0 V + e_1(p) W^{\mathsf{T}} E_1 V + \ldots + e_{q_E}(p) W^{\mathsf{T}} E_{q_E} V,$$

$$= E_0 + e_1(p)E_1 + \ldots + e_{q_E}(p)E_{q_E},$$

$$\hat{A}(p) = W^{\mathsf{T}} A_0 V + a_1(p) W^{\mathsf{T}} A_1 V + \ldots + a_{q_A}(p) W^{\mathsf{T}} A_{q_A} V,$$

$$= \tilde{A}_0 + a_1(p)\tilde{A}_1 + \ldots + a_{q_A}(p)\tilde{A}_{q_A},$$

$$\hat{B}(p) = W^T B_0 + b_1(p) W^T B_1 + \ldots + b_{q_B}(p) W^T B_{q_B}$$

$$= \hat{B}_0 + b_1(p)\hat{B}_1 + \ldots + b_{q_B}(p)\hat{B}_{q_B},$$

$$\hat{C}(p) = C_0 V + c_1(p)C_1 V + \dots + c_{q_c}(p)C_{q_c} V,$$

= $\hat{C}_0 + c_1(p)\hat{C}_1 + \dots + c_{q_c}(p)\hat{C}_{q_c}.$



References

Interpolatory Model Reduction

Structure-Preservation

Petrov-Galerkin-type projection

For given projection matrices $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ with $W^T V = I_r$ ($\rightsquigarrow (VW^T)^2 = VW^T$ is projector), compute

$$\hat{E}(p) = W^{T} E_{0} V + e_{1}(p) W^{T} E_{1} V + \dots + e_{q_{E}}(p) W^{T} E_{q_{E}} V,
= \hat{E}_{0} + e_{1}(p) \hat{E}_{1} + \dots + e_{q_{E}}(p) \hat{E}_{q_{E}},
\hat{A}(p) = W^{T} A_{0} V + a_{1}(p) W^{T} A_{1} V + \dots + a_{q_{A}}(p) W^{T} A_{q_{A}} V,
= \hat{A}_{0} + a_{1}(p) \hat{A}_{1} + \dots + a_{q_{A}}(p) \hat{A}_{q_{A}},
\hat{B}(p) = W^{T} B_{0} + b_{1}(p) W^{T} B_{1} + \dots + b_{q_{B}}(p) W^{T} B_{q_{B}},
= \hat{B}_{0} + b_{1}(p) \hat{B}_{1} + \dots + b_{q_{B}}(p) \hat{B}_{q_{B}},
\hat{C}(p) = C_{0} V + c_{1}(p) C_{1} V + \dots + c_{q_{C}}(p) C_{q_{C}} V,
= \hat{C}_{0} + c_{1}(p) \hat{C}_{1} + \dots + c_{q_{C}}(p) \hat{C}_{q_{C}}.$$

Introduction

erpolatory Model Reduction

Recycling for PMOR

Numerical Examp

I Examples C

usions and Outlook

References

PMOR based on Multi-Moment Matching

Idea: choose appropriate frequency parameter \hat{s} and parameter vector \hat{p} , expand into multivariate power series about (\hat{s}, \hat{p}) and compute reduced-order model, so that

$$G(s,p) = \hat{G}(s,p) + \mathcal{O}\left(|s-\hat{s}|^{K} + \|p-\hat{p}\|^{L} + |s-\hat{s}|^{k}\|p-\hat{p}\|^{\ell}
ight),$$

i.e., first $K, L, k + \ell$ (mostly: $K = L = k + \ell$) coefficients (multi-moments) of Taylor/Laurent series coincide.

Algorithms:

- [DANIEL ET AL. '04]: explicit computation of moments, numerically unstable.
- [FARLE ET AL. '06/'07]: Krylov subspace approach, only polynomial parameter-dependance, numerical properties not clear, but appears to be robust.
- [FENG/B. '07-'10]: Arnoldi-MGS method, employ recursive dependance of multi-moments, numerically robust, *r* often larger as with [FARLE ET AL.].

Introduction

erpolatory Model Reduction

Recycling for PMOR

Numerical Examp

Examples Con

isions and Outlook

References

PMOR based on Multi-Moment Matching

Idea: choose appropriate frequency parameter \hat{s} and parameter vector \hat{p} , expand into multivariate power series about (\hat{s}, \hat{p}) and compute reduced-order model, so that

$$G(s,p) = \hat{G}(s,p) + \mathcal{O}\left(|s-\hat{s}|^{K} + \|p-\hat{p}\|^{L} + |s-\hat{s}|^{k}\|p-\hat{p}\|^{\ell}
ight),$$

i.e., first $K, L, k + \ell$ (mostly: $K = L = k + \ell$) coefficients (multi-moments) of Taylor/Laurent series coincide.

Algorithms:

- [DANIEL ET AL. '04]: explicit computation of moments, numerically unstable.
- [FARLE ET AL. '06/'07]: Krylov subspace approach, only polynomial parameter-dependance, numerical properties not clear, but appears to be robust.
- [FENG/B. '07-'10]: Arnoldi-MGS method, employ recursive dependance of multi-moments, numerically robust, *r* often larger as with [FARLE ET AL.].

PMOR based on Rational Interpolation

Theory: Interpolation of the Transfer Function

Theorem 1 [BAUR/BEATTIE/B./GUGERCIN '07/'09]

Let
$$\hat{G}(s,p) := \hat{C}(p)(s\hat{E}(p) - \hat{A}(p))^{-1}\hat{B}(p)$$

= $C(p)V(sW^{T}E(p)V - W^{T}A(p)V)^{-1}W^{T}B(p)$

and suppose $\hat{p} = [\hat{p}_1, ..., \hat{p}_d]^T$ and $\hat{s} \in \mathbb{C}$ are chosen such that both $\hat{s} E(\hat{p}) - A(\hat{p})$ and $\hat{s} \hat{E}(\hat{p}) - \hat{A}(\hat{p})$ are invertible.

lf

 $(\hat{s} E(\hat{p}) - A(\hat{p}))^{-1} B(\hat{p}) \in \operatorname{Ran}(V)$

or

$$\left(C(\hat{p})\left(\hat{s} E(\hat{p}) - A(\hat{p})\right)^{-1}\right)^T \in \operatorname{Ran}(W),$$

then $G(\hat{s}, \hat{p}) = \hat{G}(\hat{s}, \hat{p})$.

Note: result extends to MIMO case using tangential interpolation:

a) If $(\hat{s} E(\hat{p}) - A(\hat{p}))^{-1} B(\hat{p}) b \in \operatorname{Ran}(V)$, then $G(\hat{s}, \hat{p}) b = \hat{G}(\hat{s}, \hat{p}) b$;

b) If
$$\left(c^{ op}C(\hat{p})\left(\hat{s}\,E(\hat{p})-A(\hat{p})\right)^{-1}
ight)^{ op}\in\operatorname{Ran}(W)$$
, then $c^{ op}G(\hat{s},\hat{p})=c^{ op}\hat{G}(\hat{s},\hat{p})$.

PMOR based on Rational Interpolation

Theory: Interpolation of the Transfer Function

Theorem 1 [BAUR/BEATTIE/B./GUGERCIN '07/'09]

Let
$$\hat{G}(s,p) := \hat{C}(p)(s\hat{E}(p) - \hat{A}(p))^{-1}\hat{B}(p)$$

= $C(p)V(sW^{T}E(p)V - W^{T}A(p)V)^{-1}W^{T}B(p)$

and suppose $\hat{p} = [\hat{p}_1, ..., \hat{p}_d]^T$ and $\hat{s} \in \mathbb{C}$ are chosen such that both $\hat{s} E(\hat{p}) - A(\hat{p})$ and $\hat{s} \hat{E}(\hat{p}) - \hat{A}(\hat{p})$ are invertible.

lf

$$(\hat{s} E(\hat{p}) - A(\hat{p}))^{-1} B(\hat{p}) \in \operatorname{Ran}(V)$$

or

$$\left(C(\hat{p})\left(\hat{s} E(\hat{p}) - A(\hat{p})\right)^{-1}\right)^T \in \operatorname{Ran}(W),$$

then $G(\hat{s}, \hat{p}) = \hat{G}(\hat{s}, \hat{p})$.

Note: result extends to MIMO case using tangential interpolation: Let $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{R}^q$ be arbitrary. a) If $(\hat{s} E(\hat{p}) - A(\hat{p}))^{-1} B(\hat{p})b \in \operatorname{Ran}(V)$, then $G(\hat{s}, \hat{p})b = \hat{G}(\hat{s}, \hat{p})b$; b) If $\left(c^{T}C(\hat{p})\left(\hat{s}E(\hat{p})-A(\hat{p})\right)^{-1}\right)^{T} \in \operatorname{Ran}(W)$, then $c^{T}G(\hat{s},\hat{p})=c^{T}\hat{G}(\hat{s},\hat{p})$.

Numerical Exampl

Examples Cond

sions and Outlook

References

PMOR based on Rational Interpolation



Generic implementation of interpolatory PMOR

Define A(s, p) := sE(p) - A(p).

- **③** Select "frequencies" $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and parameters $p^{(1)}, \ldots, p^{(\ell)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- Ompute (orthonormal) basis of

$$\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{span} \{ \mathcal{A}(s_1, p^{(1)})^{-1} \mathcal{B}(p^{(1)}), \dots, \mathcal{A}(s_1, p^{(1)})^{-\kappa_1} \mathcal{B}(p^{(1)}), \dots \\ \dots, \mathcal{A}(s_k, p^{(\ell)})^{-1} \mathcal{B}(p^{(\ell)}), \dots, \mathcal{A}(s_k, p^{(\ell)})^{-\kappa_\ell} \mathcal{B}(p^{(\ell)}) \}.$$

Sor two-sided approach: compute (orthonormal) basis of

$$\mathcal{W} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{T}}(s_{1}, p^{(1)})^{-1} C(p^{(1)}), \dots, \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{T}}(s_{1}, p^{(1)})^{-\kappa_{1}} C(p^{(1)}), \dots, \right. \\ \left. \dots, \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{T}}(s_{k}, p^{(\ell)})^{-1} C(p^{(\ell)})^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{T}}(s_{k}, p^{(\ell)})^{-\kappa_{\ell}} C(p^{(\ell)})^{\mathsf{T}} \right\}.$$



$$\hat{A}(p) := W^{\mathsf{T}} A(p) V, \quad \hat{B}(p) := W^{\mathsf{T}} B(p) V, \\ \hat{C}(p) := W^{\mathsf{T}} C(p) V, \quad \hat{E}(p) := W^{\mathsf{T}} E(p) V.$$



Let $A^{(i)} := \mathcal{A}(s_i, p^{(i)}), \ b_k^{(i)} := k$ th column of $B(p^{(i)}),$ etc.

• For two-sided projection, need to solve "dual" linear systems,

$$A^{(i)}v^{(i)} = b^{(i)}, \qquad (A^{(i)})^T w^{(i)} = c^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Use variants of unsymmetric Lanczos process \rightsquigarrow Kapil Ahuja's talk this session.

- Here: consider one-sided method following robust implementation based on repeated modified Gram-Schmidt [FENG/B. '07/'08].
- Need to solve for $i = 1, ..., \ell$ = number of interpolation points:

$$A^{(i)}v_{k}^{(i)} = b_{k}^{(i)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ A^{(i)}v_{k}^{(i)} = v_{k-m}^{(i)}, \quad k = m+1, \dots, 2m, \\ \vdots \\ A^{(i)}v_{k}^{(i)} = v_{k-m}^{(i)}, \quad k = (K_{i}-1)m+1, \dots, K_{i}m.$$

No change in coefficient matrix!



Let $A^{(i)} := \mathcal{A}(s_i, p^{(i)}), \ b_k^{(i)} := k$ th column of $B(p^{(i)}),$ etc.

• For two-sided projection, need to solve "dual" linear systems,

$$A^{(i)}v^{(i)} = b^{(i)}, \qquad (A^{(i)})^T w^{(i)} = c^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Use variants of unsymmetric Lanczos process \rightsquigarrow Kapil Ahuja's talk this session.

- Here: consider one-sided method following robust implementation based on repeated modified Gram-Schmidt [FENG/B. '07/'08].
- Need to solve for $i = 1, ..., \ell$ = number of interpolation points:

$$A^{(i)}v_{k}^{(i)} = b_{k}^{(i)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ A^{(i)}v_{k}^{(i)} = v_{k-m}^{(i)}, \quad k = m+1, \dots, 2m, \\ \vdots \\ A^{(i)}v_{k}^{(i)} = v_{k-m}^{(i)}, \quad k = (K_{i}-1)m+1, \dots, K_{i}m.$$

No change in coefficient matrix!



Let $A^{(i)} := \mathcal{A}(s_i, p^{(i)}), \ b_k^{(i)} := k$ th column of $B(p^{(i)})$, etc.

• For two-sided projection, need to solve "dual" linear systems,

$$A^{(i)}v^{(i)} = b^{(i)}, \qquad (A^{(i)})^T w^{(i)} = c^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Use variants of unsymmetric Lanczos process \rightsquigarrow Kapil Ahuja's talk this session.

- Here: consider one-sided method following robust implementation based on repeated modified Gram-Schmidt [FENG/B. '07/'08].
- Need to solve for $i = 1, ..., \ell$ = number of interpolation points:

$$A^{(i)} v_{k}^{(i)} = b_{k}^{(i)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ A^{(i)} v_{k}^{(i)} = v_{k-m}^{(i)}, \quad k = m+1, \dots, 2m, \\ \vdots \\ A^{(i)} v_{k}^{(i)} = v_{k-m}^{(i)}, \quad k = (K_{i}-1)m+1, \dots, K_{i}m.$$
 No change in coefficient matrix!

Introduction Interpolatory Model Reduction **Recycling for PMOR** Numerical Examples Conclusions and Outlo 000000 000000 00

Recycling for PMOR

GCRO-DR

- Acronym: Generalized Conjugate Residual method with inner Orthogonalization and Deflated Restarting.
- Based on GCR(OT) [DE STURLER '96], and GMRES-DR [MORGAN '02], which tries to recover some of the GMRES convergence rate in restarted GMRES (GMRES(m)) by "recycling" k harmonic Ritz values.
- GCRO-DR is equivalent to GMRES-DR if applied to a single linear system.
- If applied to a sequence A⁽ⁱ⁾x⁽ⁱ⁾ = b⁽ⁱ⁾ of linear systems, it recycles the harmonic Ritz vectors from A⁽ⁱ⁻¹⁾ and updates them in the *i*th solve.
- In the PMOR settings, A⁽ⁱ⁾ only changes a few times → no need to update the harmonic Ritz vectors in system solves associated to A⁽ⁱ⁾ → significant savings in number of matvecs and orthogonalization steps possible.
- When switching from $A^{(i-1)}$ to $A^{(i)}$, we "turn on" the harmonic Ritz vector updating.
- ⇒ variant G-DRvar of GCRO-DR, with restart length/size of Krylov subspace *m* and recycle space dimension *k*.



	Recycling for PMOR		

GCRO-DR

[Parks/de Sturler/Mackey/Johnson/Maiti '06]

- Acronym: Generalized Conjugate Residual method with inner Orthogonalization and Deflated Restarting.
- Based on GCR(OT) [DE STURLER '96], and GMRES-DR [MORGAN '02], which tries to recover some of the GMRES convergence rate in restarted GMRES (GMRES(m)) by "recycling" k harmonic Ritz values.

• GCRO-DR is equivalent to GMRES-DR if applied to a single linear system.

- If applied to a sequence A⁽ⁱ⁾x⁽ⁱ⁾ = b⁽ⁱ⁾ of linear systems, it recycles the harmonic Ritz vectors from A⁽ⁱ⁻¹⁾ and updates them in the *i*th solve.
- In the PMOR settings, A⁽ⁱ⁾ only changes a few times → no need to update the harmonic Ritz vectors in system solves associated to A⁽ⁱ⁾ → significant savings in number of matvecs and orthogonalization steps possible.
- When switching from $A^{(i-1)}$ to $A^{(i)}$, we "turn on" the harmonic Ritz vector updating.
- ⇒ variant G-DRvar of GCRO-DR, with restart length/size of Krylov subspace *m* and recycle space dimension *k*.

	Recycling for PMOR		
			-

Ø

Recycling for PMOR

GCRO-DR

[Parks/de Sturler/Mackey/Johnson/Maiti '06]

- Acronym: Generalized Conjugate Residual method with inner Orthogonalization and Deflated Restarting.
- Based on GCR(OT) [DE STURLER '96], and GMRES-DR [MORGAN '02], which tries to recover some of the GMRES convergence rate in restarted GMRES (GMRES(m)) by "recycling" k harmonic Ritz values.

• GCRO-DR is equivalent to GMRES-DR if applied to a single linear system.

- If applied to a sequence $A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b^{(i)}$ of linear systems, it recycles the harmonic Ritz vectors from $A^{(i-1)}$ and updates them in the *i*th solve.
- In the PMOR settings, $A^{(i)}$ only changes a few times \rightsquigarrow no need to update the harmonic Ritz vectors in system solves associated to $A^{(i)} \rightsquigarrow$ significant savings in number of matvecs and orthogonalization steps possible.
- When switching from $A^{(i-1)}$ to $A^{(i)}$, we "turn on" the harmonic Ritz vector updating.
- ⇒ variant G-DRvar of GCRO-DR, with restart length/size of Krylov subspace *m* and recycle space dimension *k*.

	Recycling for PMOR		
			-

GCRO-DR

- Acronym: Generalized Conjugate Residual method with inner Orthogonalization and Deflated Restarting.
- Based on GCR(OT) [DE STURLER '96], and GMRES-DR [MORGAN '02], which tries to recover some of the GMRES convergence rate in restarted GMRES (GMRES(m)) by "recycling" k harmonic Ritz values.
- GCRO-DR is equivalent to GMRES-DR if applied to a single linear system.
- If applied to a sequence $A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b^{(i)}$ of linear systems, it recycles the harmonic Ritz vectors from $A^{(i-1)}$ and updates them in the *i*th solve.
- In the PMOR settings, A⁽ⁱ⁾ only changes a few times → no need to update the harmonic Ritz vectors in system solves associated to A⁽ⁱ⁾ → significant savings in number of matvecs and orthogonalization steps possible.
- When switching from $A^{(i-1)}$ to $A^{(i)}$, we "turn on" the harmonic Ritz vector updating.
- ⇒ variant G-DRvar of GCRO-DR, with restart length/size of Krylov subspace *m* and recycle space dimension *k*.

	Recycling for PMOR		

GCRO-DR

- Acronym: Generalized Conjugate Residual method with inner Orthogonalization and Deflated Restarting.
- Based on GCR(OT) [DE STURLER '96], and GMRES-DR [MORGAN '02], which tries to recover some of the GMRES convergence rate in restarted GMRES (GMRES(m)) by "recycling" k harmonic Ritz values.
- GCRO-DR is equivalent to GMRES-DR if applied to a single linear system.
- If applied to a sequence $A^{(i)}x^{(i)} = b^{(i)}$ of linear systems, it recycles the harmonic Ritz vectors from $A^{(i-1)}$ and updates them in the *i*th solve.
- In the PMOR settings, A⁽ⁱ⁾ only changes a few times → no need to update the harmonic Ritz vectors in system solves associated to A⁽ⁱ⁾ → significant savings in number of matvecs and orthogonalization steps possible.
- When switching from $A^{(i-1)}$ to $A^{(i)}$, we "turn on" the harmonic Ritz vector updating.
- ⇒ variant G-DRvar of GCRO-DR, with restart length/size of Krylov subspace *m* and recycle space dimension *k*.

	Recycling for PMOR		

GCRO-DR

- Acronym: Generalized Conjugate Residual method with inner Orthogonalization and Deflated Restarting.
- Based on GCR(OT) [DE STURLER '96], and GMRES-DR [MORGAN '02], which tries to recover some of the GMRES convergence rate in restarted GMRES (GMRES(m)) by "recycling" k harmonic Ritz values.
- GCRO-DR is equivalent to GMRES-DR if applied to a single linear system.
- If applied to a sequence A⁽ⁱ⁾x⁽ⁱ⁾ = b⁽ⁱ⁾ of linear systems, it recycles the harmonic Ritz vectors from A⁽ⁱ⁻¹⁾ and updates them in the *i*th solve.
- In the PMOR settings, A⁽ⁱ⁾ only changes a few times → no need to update the harmonic Ritz vectors in system solves associated to A⁽ⁱ⁾ → significant savings in number of matvecs and orthogonalization steps possible.
- When switching from $A^{(i-1)}$ to $A^{(i)}$, we "turn on" the harmonic Ritz vector updating.
- ⇒ variant G-DRvar of GCRO-DR, with restart length/size of Krylov subspace *m* and recycle space dimension *k*.

	Recycling for PMOR		

GCRO-DR

- Acronym: Generalized Conjugate Residual method with inner Orthogonalization and Deflated Restarting.
- Based on GCR(OT) [DE STURLER '96], and GMRES-DR [MORGAN '02], which tries to recover some of the GMRES convergence rate in restarted GMRES (GMRES(m)) by "recycling" k harmonic Ritz values.
- GCRO-DR is equivalent to GMRES-DR if applied to a single linear system.
- If applied to a sequence A⁽ⁱ⁾x⁽ⁱ⁾ = b⁽ⁱ⁾ of linear systems, it recycles the harmonic Ritz vectors from A⁽ⁱ⁻¹⁾ and updates them in the *i*th solve.
- In the PMOR settings, A⁽ⁱ⁾ only changes a few times → no need to update the harmonic Ritz vectors in system solves associated to A⁽ⁱ⁾ → significant savings in number of matvecs and orthogonalization steps possible.
- When switching from $A^{(i-1)}$ to $A^{(i)}$, we "turn on" the harmonic Ritz vector updating.
- \Rightarrow variant G-DRvar of GCRO-DR, with restart length/size of Krylov subspace *m* and recycle space dimension *k*.



- \bullet Use MATLAB $^{\textcircled{R}}$ implementation gmres for comparison.
- All solvers are preconditioned with incomplete LU, drop tolerance 10^{-3} .
- Tested different versions of simplified GCRO-DR, here only results for most successful method "G-DRvar".
- Focus on applications in MEMS design.
- For all tests, see MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE MAGDEBURG PREPRINT MPIMD/12-08, 2012, http://www.mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de/preprints/2012/08/.

Butterfly microgyroscope [BILLGER '05]

FEM model (n = 17, 361, m = 1, q = 12) of microgyroscope:

$$(M_1 + wM_2)\ddot{x}(t) + (\theta(D_1 + wD_2) + \alpha(M_1 + wM_2) + \beta(K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3))\dot{x}(t) + (K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3)x(t) = Bu(t), \quad y = Cx.$$

Parameters:

- width of bearing w,
- angular velocity θ ,
- Rayleigh damping coefficients α, β .



For model with linear parameter dependency, introduce d = 11 artificial parameters, e.g. $p_8 = \frac{s}{w}\beta$.



Butterfly microgyroscope [BILLGER '05]

FEM model (n = 17, 361, m = 1, q = 12) of microgyroscope:

$$(M_1 + wM_2)\ddot{x}(t) + (\theta(D_1 + wD_2) + \alpha(M_1 + wM_2) + \beta(K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3))\dot{x}(t) + (K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3)x(t) = Bu(t), \quad y = Cx.$$

Computation of reduced-order model:

- 4 interpolation points $[s^{(i)}, p^{(i)}] = [j\omega_i, w_i, \theta_i] (\alpha_i = 0 = \beta_i),$
- $K_i = 43$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4 \Rightarrow 172$ linear system solves with 4 different coefficient matrices

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{(i)} &:= -\omega_i^2(\mathcal{M}_1 + w\mathcal{M}_2) + \jmath\omega_i heta_i(\mathcal{D}_1 + w_i\mathcal{D}_2) + \mathcal{K}_1 + rac{1}{w_i}\mathcal{K}_2 + w_i\mathcal{K}_3, \end{aligned}$$

- stopping criterion: $\|\operatorname{res}(x_j^{(i)})\| \le 10^{-9} \|\operatorname{res}(x_0^{(i)})\|$,
- reduced second-order model with r = 289.

Butterfly microgyroscope [BILLGER '05]

FEM model (n = 17, 361, m = 1, q = 12) of microgyroscope:

$$(M_1 + wM_2)\ddot{x}(t) + (\theta(D_1 + wD_2) + \alpha(M_1 + wM_2) + \beta(K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3))\dot{x}(t) + (K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3)x(t) = Bu(t), \quad y = Cx.$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \quad A^{(i)} := -\omega_i^2(M_1 + wM_2) + \jmath \omega_i \theta_i (D_1 + w_i D_2) + K_1 + \frac{1}{w_i} K_2 + w_i K_3,$$

	k	m	matvecs	matvecs	CPU time [s]	
			(average)	(total)		
G-DRvar	30	90	633	101,340	21,931	
GCRO-DR	30	90	638	109,800	23,192	
GMRES(m)		90		no converge	ence	
GMRES			out of memory			

Butterfly microgyroscope [BILLGER '05]

FEM model (n = 17, 361, m = 1, q = 12) of microgyroscope:

$$(M_1 + wM_2)\ddot{x}(t) + (\theta(D_1 + wD_2) + \alpha(M_1 + wM_2) + \beta(K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3))\dot{x}(t) + (K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3)x(t) = Bu(t), \quad y = Cx.$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \quad \boldsymbol{A}^{(i)} := -\omega_i^2(\boldsymbol{M}_1 + \boldsymbol{w}\boldsymbol{M}_2) + \jmath\omega_i\theta_i(\boldsymbol{D}_1 + \boldsymbol{w}_i\boldsymbol{D}_2) + \boldsymbol{K}_1 + \frac{1}{w_i}\boldsymbol{K}_2 + \boldsymbol{w}_i\boldsymbol{K}_3,$$

	k	т	matvecs	matvecs	CPU time [s]
			(average)	(total)	
G-DRvar	30	85	668	114,810	22,256
GCRO-DR	30	85	689	118,440	23,525
G-DRvar	30	90	633	108,900	21,106
GCRO-DR	30	90	638	109,800	23,192
G-DRvar	30	95	597	102,645	19,812
GCRO-DR	30	95	601	103,425	23,038
G-DRvar	40	120	663	114,000	23,004
GCRO-DR	40	120	682	117,360	27,123



Butterfly microgyroscope [BILLGER '05]

FEM model (n = 17, 361, m = 1, q = 12) of microgyroscope:

$$(M_1 + wM_2)\ddot{x}(t) + (\theta(D_1 + wD_2) + \alpha(M_1 + wM_2) + \beta(K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3))\dot{x}(t) + (K_1 + \frac{1}{w}K_2 + wK_3)x(t) = Bu(t), \quad y = Cx.$$

Model reduction performance: (for $G_{11}(j\omega, p)$ for ...



Microhotplate gas sensor [BECHTOLD ET AL '10]

FEM model (n = 60,020, m = 1, q = 2) of a gas sensor with four parameters:

$$(E_0+\rho c_p E_1)\dot{x}+(K_0+\kappa K_1+hK_2)x=Bu(t), \quad y=Cx.$$

 $\rightarrow A^{(i)} := s_i(E_0 + \rho c_{p,i}E_1) + (K_0 + \kappa_i K_1 + h_i K_2)$

Parameters:

- mass density $ho \, [{\rm kg/m^3}]$,
- specific heat capacity $c_p \, [J/kg/K]$,
- thermal conductivity $\kappa \; [{\rm W/m/K}]$,
- heat transfer coefficient $h \, [W/m^2/K]$.



Microhotplate gas sensor [BECHTOLD ET AL '10]

FEM model (n = 60,020, m = 1, q = 2) of a gas sensor with four parameters:

$$(E_0 + \rho c_p E_1)\dot{x} + (K_0 + \kappa K_1 + hK_2)x = Bu(t), \quad y = Cx.$$

 $\rightarrow A^{(i)} := s_i(E_0 + \rho c_{\rho,i} E_1) + (K_0 + \kappa_i K_1 + h_i K_2)$

Computation of reduced-order model:

- Interpolation points $[s^{(1)}, p^{(1)}] = [0, 4, 700, 3100, 11],$ $[s^{(2)}, p^{(2)}] = [0, 3, 500, 3100, 10.5], [s^{(3)}, p^{(3)}] = [0, 2.5, 439, 3100, 10],$
- K_i = 21 for i = 1, 2, 3 ⇒ r = 63 linear system solves with 3 different coefficient matrices, with stopping criterion: ||res(x_i⁽ⁱ⁾)|| ≤ 10⁻⁹ ||res(x₀⁽ⁱ⁾)||.

	k	т	matvecs (total)	CPU time [s]
G-DRvar	50	60	5,220	1,777
GCRO-DR	50	60	13,650	2,602
GMRES(m)		60	?	> 24h
GMRES			out of memory	



Microhotplate gas sensor [BECHTOLD ET AL '10]

FEM model (n = 60, 020, m = 1, q = 2) of a gas sensor with four parameters:

$$(E_0 + \rho c_p E_1)\dot{x} + (K_0 + \kappa K_1 + hK_2)x = Bu(t), \quad y = Cx$$

 $\rightsquigarrow A^{(i)} := s_i(E_0 + \rho c_{p,i}E_1) + (K_0 + \kappa_i K_1 + h_i K_2)$

Model reduction performance: $|G_{11}(j\omega, p) - \hat{G}_{11}(j\omega, p)| / |G_{11}(j\omega, p)|$ for ...





Conclusions:

- Offline phase of PMOR algorithms can significantly be accelerated using Krylov subspace recycling.
- Due to special requirements in PMOR applications, usual recycling methods can be simplified.
- Application to real-world MEMS examples demonstrates significant savings over standard Krylov solvers.

Future Work:

- Use more advanced recycling techniques based on BiCG(Stab), TFQMR, IDR, ...
- See Kapil Ahuja's talk!



Conclusions:

- Offline phase of PMOR algorithms can significantly be accelerated using Krylov subspace recycling.
- Due to special requirements in PMOR applications, usual recycling methods can be simplified.
- Application to real-world MEMS examples demonstrates significant savings over standard Krylov solvers.

Future Work:

- Use more advanced recycling techniques based on BiCG(Stab), TFQMR, IDR, ...
- See Kapil Ahuja's talk!



Ø

Conclusions and Outlook

Conclusions:

- Offline phase of PMOR algorithms can significantly be accelerated using Krylov subspace recycling.
- Due to special requirements in PMOR applications, usual recycling methods can be simplified.
- Application to real-world MEMS examples demonstrates significant savings over standard Krylov solvers.

Future Work:

- Use more advanced recycling techniques based on BiCG(Stab), TFQMR, IDR, ...
- See Kapil Ahuja's talk!

Muchas gracias por su atención!

References



U. Baur, C. Beattie, P. Benner, and S. Gugercin.

Interpolatory projection methods for parameterized model reduction.



U. Baur, C. Beattie, A. Greiner, J.G. Korvink, J. Lienemann, and C. Moosmann,

Parameter preserving model order reduction for MEMS applications.



L. Feng and P. Benner.

Parametric model reduction by implicit moment matching.

In B. Lohmann and A. Kugi (eds.), Tagungsband GMA-FA 1.30 "Modellbildung, Identifizierung and Simulation in der Automatisierungstechnik", Workshop in Anif, 26.-28.9.2007, pp. 34-47, 2007 (in German), [ISBN 978-3-9502451-1-0]



L. Feng and P. Benner.

A robust algorithm for parametric model order reduction.



L. Feng and P. Benner.

On recycling Krylov subspaces for solving linear systems with successive right-hand sides with applications in model reduction. In P. Benner, M. Hinze, E.J.W. ter Maten (Eds.), Model Reduction for Circuit Simulation, LECTURE NOTES IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, Vol. 74, pp. 125-140, Springer-Verlag, Dordrecht, 2011.



L. Feng and P. Benner.

Parametric model order reduction accelerated by subspace recycling.

16-18, 2009, pp. 4328-4333, 2009.



L. Feng, P. Benner, and J. Korvink.

Fast Parametric Macromodeling of MEMS using Subspace Recycling http://www.mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de/preprints/2012/08/.